

## CGA Committee Agenda July 6, 2022 8:15am

Tod Gunther, Councilmember, Chair Don Tracy, Councilmember Kim Agfalvi, City Clerk Scott Larson, City Administrator Gretchen Russo, Finance Director

This meeting is being held in person and through the platform zoom. A link for virtual participation can be found on the agenda or on the City's website.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

https://us06web.zoom.us/j/84348283258?pwd=RIJQczIFT3N2d2FoNFNWSnhmTmx4dz09

- 1. Call to Order
- 2. Parks Report
- 3. Public Comments

#### 4. Agenda Items

- **A.** Clock Tower Update Orting Historical Society.
- **B.** Parks Trails and Open Space Plan Amendment Park Planning.
- C. Main Parks Master Plan.
- **D.** Summerfest Sponsorship.
- E. Vancouver Model.
- **F.** Purple Heart Designation.
- **G.** Distinguished Public Service Award.
- H. City Challenge Coin.
- 5. Meeting Minutes of June 1st, 2022.
- 6. Action Items/Round table review.

Final comments.

Identify Items that are ready to move forward, establish next meeting's agenda.

#### 7. Adjournment

### City of Orting Council Agenda Summary Sheet

	1	,		1		
	Agenda Bill #	Recommending Committee	Study Session Dates	Regular Meeting Dates		
Subject City	AB22-XX	CGA				
Subject: City Parks Master		7.6.2022				
Planning						
	Department:	Administration				
	Date	7.1.2022				
	Submitted:					
Cost of Item:		N/A				
Amount Budgete	d:	N/A				
Unexpended Balance:		N/A				
Bars #:		N/A				
Timeline:		Discussion Item				
Submitted By:		Scott Larson				
Fiscal Note: None	<b>:</b>					

riscai Note. None

Attachments: 2022 Parks Trails and Open Space Plan

#### **SUMMARY STATEMENT:**

Council has been reviewing a master planning exercise proposal for the city's main park and through that process council members have inquired as to the possibility of providing other parks with the same level of planning for future development. The current Parks Trails and Open Space plan only contemplates master planning in the Main Park.

To accomplish this goal of providing additional planning and development of city parks, staff recommends that council prepare a priority and phasing list of other parks to master plan and amend the Parks Trails and Open Space plan to include the list. This proposal would direct the CGA committee to work with the Parks Advisory Board to develop a master planning proposal and timeline for other parks.

RECOMMENDED ACTION:	 •

FUTURE MOTION: Motion to direct the CGA committee to develop a phased approach to master plan the cities' parks.

# Parks, Trails, and Open Space Plan



City of Orting

2022 Update













### PARKS, TRAILS & OPEN SPACE PLAN

City of Orting February 2022

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION AND SETTING	1.1
<ul> <li>Purpose</li> </ul>	1.1
• Location	1.1
<ul> <li>Community Profile</li> </ul>	1.2
Plan History	1.3
o Challenges	1.3
<ul> <li>Process</li> </ul>	1.4
Public Involvement	1.5
CHAPTER 2: EXISTING CONDITIONS AND INVENTORY	2.1
<ul> <li>Introduction</li> </ul>	2.2
<ul> <li>Public Parks, Trails and Open Space Inventory</li> </ul>	2.2
<ul> <li>Private Parks and Open Space Inventory</li> </ul>	2.2
o Orting School District	2.3
<ul> <li>Residential Developments</li> </ul>	2.4
o Lions Club	2.4
Recreation Programming	2.6
<ul><li>Dance Programs</li></ul>	2.6
<ul> <li>Sports Programs</li> </ul>	2.7
o Other Programs	2.8
CHAPTER 3: DEMANDS AND NEEDS ANALYSIS	3.1
<ul> <li>Introduction</li> </ul>	3.1
<ul> <li>Demands and Needs Analysis</li> </ul>	3.1
<ul> <li>Farmer's Market Outreach</li> </ul>	3.1
o Survey Results	3.3
<ul> <li>Level of Service</li> </ul>	3.7
<ul> <li>Existing and Future Demand</li> </ul>	3.8
Current Needs	3.9
<ul> <li>Cost Analysis</li> </ul>	3.10
<ul><li>Impact Fees</li></ul>	3.11
<ul><li>Other Funding</li></ul>	3.12
<ul> <li>Operations and Maintenance Costs</li> </ul>	3 12

CHAPTER 4: GOALS AND POLICIES	4.1
<ul> <li>Capital Facilities</li> </ul>	4.1
<ul> <li>Land Use: Open Space and Recreation</li> </ul>	4.3
CHAPTER 5: CAPITAL IMPROVMENTS PROGRAM	5.1
<ul> <li>Planning Activity</li> </ul>	5.1
<ul> <li>Completed Projects</li> </ul>	5.1
o Current PTOS CIP Projects	5.2
APPENDIX A: PUBLIC OUTREACH AND COMMUNICATION	A.1
<ul> <li>2003: Creating the PTOS and Public Outreach</li> </ul>	A.1
• 2010 Update	A.4
o Public Open House	A.5
o Survey	A.5
• 2015 Update	A.7
<ul> <li>Comprehensive Plan Outreach</li> </ul>	A.7
o Open House	A.7
<ul> <li>Parks Plan Opinion Survey</li> </ul>	A.8
<ul> <li>Recreation Program Survey</li> </ul>	A.8
• 2022 Plan Update	A.9

#### LIST OF FIGURES

<ul> <li>CHAPTER 2: EXISTING CONDITIONS AND INVENTORY</li> <li>Figure 2.1: Current Parks and Trails Inventory</li> </ul>	2.1 2.5
<ul> <li>CHAPTER 3: DEMANDS AND NEEDS ANALYSIS</li> <li>Figure 3.1: Which of the following would you like to see more of?</li> </ul>	3.4 3.4
<ul> <li>Figure 3.2: In the last two years, which parks and facilities have you used (select all that apply)?</li> </ul>	3.5
<ul> <li>Figure 3.3: When you visit City parks, which of the following activities do you participate in?</li> </ul>	3.5
<ul> <li>Figure 3.4: What should the City focus its parks efforts and funding on (rank in order of importance, with number one as the most important)?</li> </ul>	3.6
<ul> <li>Figure 3.5: How much would you be willing to pay per month (through a bond, or tax increase) for a multi-use park and/or recreation center?</li> </ul>	3.7
CHAPTER 5: CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM  • Figure 5.1: CIP Projects Map	5.1 5.7
APPENDIX A: PUBLIC OUTREACH AND COMMUNICATION	A.1
<ul> <li>Figure A-1: Article about the 2003 Orting Parks, Trails &amp; Open Space Plan published in a local newspaper.</li> </ul>	A.3
<ul> <li>Figure A-2: Announcement for a public hearing prior to the adoption of the 2003 Plan</li> </ul>	A.4
<ul> <li>Figure A-3: 2003 Publicity for the initial Orting Parks, Trails</li> <li>&amp; Open Space Plan</li> </ul>	A.4
<ul> <li>Figure A-4: How respondents say they use parks, trails &amp; open space in Orting</li> </ul>	A.6
Figure A-5: Open House Comments	A.8

#### LIST OF TABLES

CHAPIER 1: INTRODUCTION AND SETTING	1.1
<ul> <li>Table 1.1: Population Trends</li> </ul>	1.2
Table 1.2: Age Distribution	1.2
CHAPTER 2: EXISTING CONDITIONS AND INVENTORY	2.1
<ul> <li>Table 2.1: Inventory of Public Parks, Trails and Open Space</li> </ul>	2.2
<ul> <li>Table 2.2: Inventory of Private Parks and Open Space</li> </ul>	2.3
Table 2.3: Dance Class Participation	2.7
<ul> <li>Table 2.4: Volleyball Participation</li> </ul>	2.7
<ul> <li>Table 2.5: Baseball Participation</li> </ul>	2.7
<ul> <li>Table 2.6: Tots Soccer Participation</li> </ul>	2.8
<ul> <li>Table 2.7: Tumbling Participation</li> </ul>	2.8
<ul> <li>Table 2.8: Dog/Puppy Training Participation</li> </ul>	2.8
CHAPTER 3: DEMAND AND NEEDS ANALYSIS	3.1
<ul> <li>Table 3.1: Farmer's Market Dot Activity Results</li> </ul>	3.2
<ul> <li>Table 3.2: Parks and Facilities Level of Service</li> </ul>	3.8
<ul> <li>Table 3.3: Parks and Facilities Current Demand</li> </ul>	3.8
<ul> <li>Table 3.5: Future Demand, 2044</li> </ul>	3.9
Table 3.6: Current Needs	3.10
CHAPTER 5: CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	5.1
<ul> <li>Table 5.1: Capital Facility and Improvement Program</li> </ul>	5.5

# PARKS, TRAILS & OPEN SPACE PLAN



City of Orting

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION AND SETTING

#### **PURPOSE**

The Orting *Parks, Trails & Open Space Plan* is intended to guide the acquisition of land and development of facilities for recreation and open space uses over the next 20 years. Goals, policies and capital facilities needs established in this plan are adopted into the City's Comprehensive Plan.

#### LOCATION

Orting is a small town located in the valley between the Carbon and Puyallup Rivers in Pierce County, Washington. The City is completely surrounded by County land and does not share a boundary with any other city or town. The main point of access is via Highway 162 which bisects the City from northwest to southeast. Orting is built on lahar deposits from Mount Rainier and has commanding views of the mountain throughout town. The City was incorporated in 1889, and today encompasses approximately 2.80 square miles, of which almost 0.1 square miles is water.

Orting is blessed with many natural features that support recreation. The rivers and gentle topography of the valley floor provide many opportunities for passive recreation. For years, residents were able to satisfy most recreation needs by using these natural resources, school facilities, and the surrounding area. However, as the City grew considerably throughout the 90s and early 2000s more parks were added within the City. Today, as space becomes limited and there are ample parks within the City, residents desire additional facilities and amenities within existing park spaces.

#### **COMMUNITY PROFILE**

In the time since the 2015 update of this plan, the population of Orting has increased from 7,290 to 9,041 people (2020 Census), an increase of 24%. From 2010 to 2020 the population increased by 34%.

Table 1.1: Population Trends

Year	Population <sup>1</sup>	% Change
2010	6,746	
2011	6,770	0.36%
2012	6,790	0.30%
2013	6,930	2.06%
2014	7,065	1.95%
2015	7,290	3.18%
2016	7,525	3.22%
2017	7,835	4.12%
2018	8,105	3.45%
2019	8,380	3.39%
2020	9,041	7.88%

Orting currently has a fairly even distribution of ages, with no one age group making up a large majority. All age groups are between approximately 4 and 8% of the total population. The largest outlier is those aged 80 to 84 which make up approximately 1.1% of the population and those 85+ which make up 1.4% of the population (see Table 1.2, below). This is a noticeable difference from the age distribution five years prior where 2014 saw the largest

majority of the population aged between 30 to 34 years old (17%) and 25 to 29 years old (15.1%).

Table 1.2: Age Distribution

		2019		2014
Age	Total	Percent	Total	Percent
Under 5 years	633	7.9%	585	8.4%
5 to 9 years	670	8.4%	769	11.1%
10 to 14 years	532	6.6%	554	8.0%
15 to 19 years	497	6.2%	364	5.2%
20 to 24 years	431	5.4%	429	6.2%
25 to 29 years	526	6.6%	1050	15.1%
30 to 34 years	697	8.7%	1181	17.0%
35 to 39 years	593	7.4%	713	10.3%
40 to 44 years	482	6.0%	321	4.6%
45 to 49 years	551	6.9%	235	3.4%
50 to 54 years	650	8.1%	383	5.5%
55 to 59 years	426	5.3%	189	2.7%
60 to 64 years	372	4.6%	168	2.4%
65 to 69 years	274	3.4%	585	8.4%
70 to 74 years	310	3.9%	769	11.1%
75 to 79 years	165	2.1%	554	8.0%
80 to 84 years	92	1.1%	364	5.2%
85 years and over	111	1.4%	429	6.2%

Source: American Community Survey via data.census.gov

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  2010 and 2020 population counts are from the decennial census. 2011-2019 are population estimates from the American Community Survey.

The City's population is projected to increase by approximately 550 people by the year 2044.<sup>2</sup> This would result in a total population of approximately 9,591 people. This projection is a 6.1% increase over 23 years (0.265% a year), a much slower growth rate than the City has historically seen. This slower growth rate is due to the limited land that remains within the City and no anticipated annexations.

#### PLAN HISTORY

In March 2003, the Orting City Council adopted the *Parks, Trails & Open Space Plan* (PTOS Plan). The PTOS Plan assessed how well parks and recreation facilities served Orting's population and described the community's vision for the future of its parks system. A number of significant outcomes followed the 2003 adoption of the PTOS Plan:

- Language from the PTOS Plan was adopted into Orting's Comprehensive Plan, including policies for capital facilities planning and policies which established Level of Service (LOS) standards for parks and trails.
- Orting's Development Regulations provided for the collection of impact fees for parks.
- Adoption of the PTOS Plan rendered Orting eligible for a variety of funding sources for parks and recreation development.

In June 2010, the Orting City Council adopted an update to the PTOS Plan. The update included a revised inventory with nearly double the 2003 park land and outlined ongoing parks planning activities. The results of these planning activities included increased river access with over 20 public access points now established. In 2015 the PTOS Plan was updated again and included an updated inventory reflecting new parks and recreation spaces acquired by the City and considered projected population growth out to 2040 to calculate future demand.

This document represents an update to Orting's 2015 PTOS Plan. It includes a new look at LOS standards given the City's lack of space to acquire new park land and considers the City's slowing growth with a project population growth out to 2044 to calculate future demand.

#### **CHALLENGES**

The Orting residential population nearly doubled in size from 2000 to 2010 and increased by approximately 2,000 people from 2010 to 2019. The population in 2020

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The 2021 Pierce County Buildable Lands Report anticipates that the City of Orting will grow by 550 people by the year 2044.

was 9,041 people.<sup>3</sup> The LOS standards established in the 2003 PTOS Plan were essential in ensuring the parks inventory grew with the population, and that new development was paying for its share through a parks impact fee. However, growth is forecasted to occur at a slower rate given the constraints on land availability.

The PTOS Plan continues to evaluate existing resources that the City feels should be included as part of the parks planning process; for example, incorporating potential river access points as identified in Orting's Shoreline Management Program into the Capital Facilities Element, or addressing community access to school recreational facilities.

Additionally, an effort has been made to reduce redundancies between the PTOS Plan and other adopted City plans, therefore some text has been removed and replaced with references.

#### **PROCESS**

The Parks Board, City Council, and the public have contributed to the update of this plan.

In keeping with past methodologies, the PTOS Plan uses an equitable method of ensuring that all new growth addresses its proportionate share of the impacts on parks and recreation by collecting mitigation fees based on those impacts. This requires the following steps:

- An updated assessment of current and future demand for open space and recreation facilities that balances numeric data with public opinion and participation;
- 2) An updated inventory of the "supply" of existing land and facilities that accommodates the demand;
- 3) Level of Service (LOS) standards for land and facilities meeting the community's needs and preferences for parks and recreation;
- 4) A plan for the location and phasing of new improvements over time; and
- 5) A financing/capital improvements plan.

This results in an updated PTOS Plan that is incorporated into the Comprehensive Plan and also used to continue to secure outside funding. Since impact fees can only be used to fund projects resulting from new demand, the City must find other sources to fund projects and activities, including park maintenance, which result from existing demand. These include grants, bonds, and levies.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 2020 decennial census count.

The Washington State Recreation and Conservation Office (RCO) is the state agency that provides grant funds to local governments to fund the planning, design, and construction of facilities. To qualify for RCO funding, the City needs a certified plan that documents the items listed below and shows that the public was involved in preparing the plan. The 2015 update ensured the City's eligibility through 2022, and the 2022 update maintains the City's eligibility for another six years through 2028.

The following chart shows the relationships between the state requirements for planning for parks and recreation within the Growth Management Comprehensive Plan and an RCO certified plan. Public involvement is required in both cases. This document meets both requirements.

GMA Parks Element	RCO Certified Parks Plan
Goals and Policies	Goals and Objectives
Level of Service Standards	Goals and Objectives
Inventory of Existing Facilities and Capacities	Inventory
Forecast of Future Needs	Demand and Need Analysis
Proposed Locations and Capacities of New Facilities	Capital Improvement Program
6-Year (Minimum) Financing Plan	Capital Improvement Program

#### PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

The PTOS Plan has undergone multiple phases of public involvement. The first public involvement process in 2003 was designed to ensure that Orting residents had the opportunity to shape the initial plan. After adopting the plan in March 2003, the City and the Parks Commission sustained continuous public outreach efforts for the development of Gratzer Park and North Park. Additional public outreach was conducted throughout the 2010 and 2015 update processes.

The most recent outreach effort was launched in the summer of 2021 to gather public input for the 2022 update. The outreach effort included an online survey and attending the weekly farmer's market at North Park with a City booth to distribute information and receive public feedback. The history of public involvement and the recent outreach efforts are described in detail in Appendix A: Public Outreach and Communication.

### PARKS, TRAILS & OPEN SPACE PLAN



City of Orting

#### CHAPTER 2: EXISTING CONDITIONS & INVENTORY

#### INTRODUCTION

The City's park system consists of 19 parks (public and private), three trails, multiple wetland/natural areas, and three schools with associated playfields. The City also offers recreational programming based on demand including tot's soccer, dance, tumbling, baseball, adult softball, volleyball, art, and dog training.

Schools are also an important resource for recreation and open space. Orting School District (OSD) fields can be used by the public for a rental fee, as available. OSD also gets priority access to the fields at Gratzer Park and prepares the fields (chalk lining, etc.) for use by the middle school and junior varsity teams primarily. There is a large demand for the Gratzer Park baseball fields, with the City having to turn teams (usually youth baseball teams) away at peak times. There are, however, other nearby facilities available to the Orting community including the Lion's Club fields located southeast of the City.

New facilities at the parks have been designed to accommodate special population needs in terms of access and recreation opportunities. Most recently with the addition of an ADA "spinner" in the main City Park in the summer of 2021.

The residents of Orting are the primary beneficiaries of these parks and recreational services, although surrounding unincorporated Pierce County and nearby cities' residents benefit as well. Tables below summarize existing parks facilities and recreational programming participation rates.

#### PUBLIC PARKS, TRAILS AND OPEN SPACE INVENTORY

The table below summarizes the existing inventory of City and other public land and facilities. These resources are mapped in Figure 2.1.

Table 2.1: Inventory of Public Parks, Trails and Open Space

Table	Table 2.1: Inventory of Public Parks, Trails and Open Space						
	Park/Facility Name	Area (Acres)	Trail Length (Miles)	Features	# Fields	# Courts	
	Memorial Park	0.60		Plantings, Bench, Memorial Rock			
	Triangle Park	0.19		Plantings, Bench, Walkway			
	Three Corners Park	0.19		Plantings, Bench, Walkway			
	Rainier Meadows	0.92		Big Toy, Grassy Area, Half Court, Walkway, Bench		0.5	
	Williams Park	0.23		Plantings, Picnic Table, Benches			
	Calistoga Park	6.3		Baseball Field, Big Toy, Parking, Benches, Dog Park	1.0		
_IC	City Park	7.2		Basketball Court, Gazebo, Big Toys, Restrooms, Shelter Area, Benches, Picnic Tables, Horseshoes Pits, Grassy Area, Parking		1.0	
PUBLIC	Whitehawk Park	4.0		Half Court, Big Toy, Picnic Tables, Grass T-Ball Field	0.5	0.5	
	North Park	1.3		Brick Area, Bollards, Benches, Shelter Building			
	Charter Park	7.6		Skateboard Area, BMX Area, Benches, Picnic Shelter			
	Gratzer Park	17.5		Ballfields (2), Parking, Wetlands, Multi-Purpose Field, Walking Path, Big Toy	3.0		
	Calistoga Levee Wetlands	56.2		Wetlands, Open Space, River Access			
	Carbon River Landing	27.2		Wetlands, Open Space, River Access			
	Rainier Meadows Wetlands	3.2		Wetlands, Open Space			
	Village Green Wetlands Park	40.2		Wetlands, Open Space, River Access			
	Foothills Trail		2.3	Benches, Viewpoints			
	Puyallup River Levee Trail		3.0	Viewpoints, River Access			
	Carbon River Levee Trail		2.6	Viewpoints, River Access			
	Total	172.8	7.9		4.5	2.0	

#### PRIVATE PARKS AND OPEN SPACE INVENTORY

Citizens regularly use additional recreational facilities in and near Orting that are institutionally or privately owned, such as school recreational facilities and Lions Park. The private parks and school facilities within City limits are considered in the

demand and need analysis in Chapter 3 as the public can arrange to access these facilities through fees or request forms.

Table 2.2 summarizes the existing inventory of privately owned park and recreation facilities, and descriptions of the facilities are provided below.

Table 2.2: Inventory of Private Parks and Open Space

Table	Table 2.2: Inventory of Private Parks and Open Space						
	Park/Facility Name	Area (Acres)	Trail Length (Miles)	Features	# Fields	# Courts	
	School-Parks						
SCHOOL DISTRICT	Orting High School/OES	14.9		Big Toys, Track, 3 Ball Fields, Football & Soccer Field, Restroom, Covered Paved Area	3.0		
100	Orting Middle School	12.6		1 Ball Field, Football & Soccer Field, Stadium	3.0		
SCH	Ptarmigan Ridge Elementary	4.3		Grassy Area, Covered Paved Area, Big Toy			
	Total	31.8			6.0		
	Private Neighborhood Parks						
	Village Green Crescent Park	0.49		Big Toy, Half Court		0.5	
	Village Green Park	2.19		Picnic Tables, Grassy Area			
	Village Green South Entrance	1.41		Grassy Area, Basketball Court, Shelter, Benches		1.0	
PRIVATE	Hidden Lakes Parks (Multiple)	4.48		Benches, Picnic Tables, Water Feature, Half Courts (2), Big Toy		1.0	
PR	Rivers Edge Parks (Multiple)	1.17		Benches, Half Courts (2), Big Toy		1.0	
	Village Green North Entrance Sign	0.23		Plantings, Bench			
	Village Green South Entrance Sign	0.04		Plantings			
	Total	10.01				3.5	

#### Orting School District

The School District currently owns and operates outdoor play fields, playgrounds and indoor recreation facilities (gymnasiums). The public can reserve School District facilities through the School District's website. Rental costs apply for some of the fields (some are free to use) and there is also insurance and COVID requirements for all School District facilities. Although these facilities are available for public use, school programming fills nearly all of the existing capacity.

#### Residential Developments

There are three residential developments with private parks that are maintained by homeowner's associations: Hidden Lakes, Rivers Edge, and Village Green. During 2021, public outreach at the Farmer's Markets anecdotally indicated the private parks are well used, but mostly by those who live within walking distance (in the neighborhood). This is seen in the 2021 survey results as well with "proximity to your home" being the number one selection for respondents when asked what factors were important when choosing a park to visit.



A mini-park in the River's Edge neighborhood

The Hidden Lakes planned unit development, located on the south side of the Puyallup River, includes multiple mini-parks offering a basketball court, play area, benches, and picnic area. Two of these parks include surface water detention ponds.


The Rivers Edge subdivision includes two mini-parks providing play areas, basketball courts, and benches.

The Village Green planned unit development includes two mini-parks (Village Green Park and Crescent Park) in addition to small dedicated open spaces at either entrance. The planned unit development also included a trailside park which was dedicated to the City as Williams Park and is currently well-used by visitors of the Foothills Trail.

#### Lions Club

The Orting Lions Club owns and operates a 25-acre site called "Orting Lions Community Park" located southeast of the city limits. This site provides fields for organized t-ball, baseball, softball, and soccer activities, primarily programmed by leagues. The leagues take care of field preparation, and use is subject to a fee. The Lions Club has made several field improvements since the last plan update in 2015, including the installation of a sprinkler system and new dirt infields. The installation of field lighting is a long-range goal. Most field development is completed with volunteer labor.

Figure 2.1 on the following page shows the locations of all the existing sites, both public and private, within Orting.



#### RECREATION PROGRAMMING

The City of Orting offers dance, various sports, and other program options. All registrations are paid directly to the City via check or online registration. Registration is handled by City staff. There has not been a formal Parks and Recreation Department in the City since 2020 when the Parks and Recreation Director position was eliminated. A part-time position for events and activities is being added as part of the 2022 City budget.

Programs offered in 2019 and 2020 included:

- Dance
- Tumbling
- Tots Soccer
- Baseball
- Adult Softball
- Volleyball
- Painting
- Dog Training
- Daddy-Daughter Dance

Previously offered programs not currently done include pitch-hit-run competition, CPR/First Aid classes, movies in the park, giant slip-n-slide, karate, and family health-nutrition-fitness class. Offerings are impacted by volunteers, participation (classes are cancelled if a minimum number is not met), and in the recent years, COVID-19 regulations.

Registration numbers for 2019 and 2020 are shown below by program type. The participation numbers for 2020 were impacted by COVID-19 or in some instances the activity was completely cancelled/refunded.

#### Dance Programs

Dance classes are offered year-round. They are offered on various times and days of the week with each season having multiple start dates. For instance, the 2019 fall schedule included:

Classes (September 9, 2019 - December 2, 2019)

- Pre-Ballet: Monday 4:15pm 5:15pm
- Tap & Jazz 1: Monday 5:15pm 6:15pm
- Tap & Jazz 2: Monday 6:15pm 7:15pm
- Adult Jazz: Monday 7:15pm 8:15pm

Classes (September 13, 2019 - December 6, 2019)

- Pre-Ballet: Friday 9:30am 10:15am
- Pre-Ballet & Tap: Friday 10:15am 11:15am

Table 2.3 - Dance Class Participation

	Durice Class I dittelpa		
	Classes	2019 Total Participation	2020 Total Participation
Winter		32	26
Spring		22	56
Summer		17	Not offered
Fall		41	Not offered
	Total	112	82

#### Sports Programs

Adult softball was offered in 2019, but not in 2020 (due to COVID-19). In 2019 there were nine teams registered, the City does not have data on the rosters/participants numbers.

In 2019, volleyball continued to be offered in the fall. It is broken down into two age groups. Due to COVID-19 it was not offered in 2020.

Table 2.4 - Volleyball Participation

Age Group	2019 Total Participation
3 <sup>rd</sup> – 5 <sup>th</sup> Grade	11
6 <sup>th</sup> – 8 <sup>th</sup> Grade	16
Total	27

Three types of baseball are offered in the spring each year: coach pitch, t-ball and pee-wee t-ball. All were offered in 2019 and 2020, however the 2020 leagues had to be cancelled, and all participants refunded due to COVID-19.

Table 2.5 - Baseball Participation

League	2019 Total Participation	2020 Total Enrollment
Coach Pitch	10	13
T-Ball	10	22
Pee Wee T-Ball	7	22
Total	27	57

Tots soccer (ages 3 to 5) is typically offered in both the spring and fall in the City. For the 2021 the season included 10 games, played on Mondays and Wednesdays at Whitehawk Park, and registration costs \$55.00. In 2019 and 2020 participation numbers were as follows.

Table 2.6 - Tots Soccer Participation

Classes	2019 Total Participation	2020 Total Participation	
Spring	63	19	
Fall	34	Not offered	
Total	97	19	

Gymnastics tumbling is offered for three age groups pre-k (3 to 5 years), elementary (kindergarten to 2nd grade), and youth (3<sup>rd</sup> to 6<sup>th</sup> grade). It is offered every season. In 2019, the spring and summer sessions included multiple time slots for the pre-k age group.

Table 2.7 - Tumbling Participation

	Classes	2019 Total Participation	2020 Total Participation
Winter		11	22
Spring		33	6
Summer		22	Not offered
Fall		15	Not offered
	Total	81	28

#### Other Programs

Painting classes are offered monthly by the City. In 2020 the class only occurred in February. Kids painting had six participants. Family painting had a total of 14 participants. No classes were offered in 2019.

Dog training classes are offered year-round. Classes are split into puppy and dog classes. In 2020 the classes only occurred in February with 6 puppy participants and 4 dog participants, for a total of 10 participants. 2019 numbers are as follows.

Table 2.8 - Dog/Puppy Training Participation

Month	Class	2019 Participation
January	Puppy	3
	Dog/ Advanced	3
February	Puppy	6
	Dog/ Advanced	6
March	Puppy	3
	Dog/ Advanced	3
April	Puppy	8
	Dog/ Advanced	10
May	Puppy	8
	Dog/ Advanced	6
June	Puppy	7
	Dog/ Advanced	3
July	Puppy	5
	Dog/ Advanced	8
August	Puppy	3
	Dog/ Advanced	5
September	Puppy	9
	Dog/ Advanced	2

Month	Class	2019 Participation
October	Puppy	3
	Dog/ Advanced	3
November	Puppy	3
	Dog/ Advanced	10
December	Puppy	10
	Dog/ Advanced	0
Total	Puppy/ Dog/ Advanced	127

### PARKS, TRAILS & OPEN SPACE PLAN



City of Orting

CHAPTER 3: DEMANDS AND NEEDS ANALYSIS

#### INTRODUCTION

This chapter addresses the demands and needs of the City's parks, trails and open space system. It begins with a summary of the outreach effort results that undergird this plan and that create an understanding of the community's desires. The chapter then describes the City's level of service (LOS) standards and how the application of the LOS standards for parks, trails and open space result in land acquisition and development costs. These costs are met by a combination of tax revenues in the general fund, grants, dedications, and impact fees.

The *Parks, Trails, and Open Space Plan* (PTOS Plan) establishes the basis for City policies and regulations aimed at creating a long-term funding program for these needs.

#### DEMANDS AND NEEDS ANALYSIS

The 2021 local public opinion survey and farmer's market outreach were used to identify shortfalls within the existing inventory, as well as improvements and new amenities park users desire. The outreach occurred from May 31 through August 31, 2021.

#### Farmer's Market Outreach

The City conducted public outreach at the farmers markets which occurred every Friday from 3 pm to 7 pm at North Park. The City hosted a booth which informed the public about a multitude of topics but had an emphasis on the parks plan update and engaging with the public to receive feedback and input on the City's parks system.

There were two main participant activities to gather tangible input at the market. The first activity was a dot board. This asked participants to put a dot sticker on

their favorite or most used park within the City. At the end of the summer the dots were tallied, the results are as follows.

Table 3.1: Farmer's Market Dot Activity Results

Park Name		Da	ite and Vot	es Receive	ed	
Faik Naille	6/11*	6/18	7/16	7/30	8/27	Total
City/ Main Park	21	21	31	16	9	98
Foothills Trails	10	22	11	5	4	52
Puyallup River	10	11	4	3	6	34
Calistoga Park	6	5	6	6	4	27
Charter (Skate) Park	6	4	4	4	7	25
Whitehawk Park	6	4	7	7		24
Carbon River	n/a	12	5	2	1	20
Calistoga-Dog Park	n/a	4	2	3	4	13
Village Green Neighborhood	n/a	2	3	3	1	9
Parks						
North Park	2	3	1	1	1	8
Rainier Meadows Park	n/a	1	2	3	1	7
Rivers Edge Neighborhood	n/a	1	1	2	0	4
Parks						
Gratzer Park	2	0	0	1	0	3
Triangle Park	3					3
Memorial park	2					2
Williams Park	1					1
3 Corners Park	0					0

<sup>\*</sup> The parks listed on this board (the first) were different from the subsequent weeks and included Williams, 3 corners, Triangle and Memorial Parks and did not include Village Green, Rivers Edge, or Rainier Meadows

City Park was identified by 98 participants as their most used or favorite park. The Foothills Trail was the second most popular park with 52 votes, followed by the Puyallup River with 34 votes, Calistoga Park with 27 votes, Charter (skate) Park with 25, and Whitehawk Park with 24 votes.

The second activity asked people to provide input by writing on a sticky note what improvements they would like to see at specific parks, or for the parks system as a whole. Input received is as follows (categorized alphabetically) with numbers in parenthesis representing the number of additional times this suggestion was made:

- 3 corner park improvements
- Activities for kids in dog park
- Adult features
- Bathroom at Calistoga (+3)
- Bathrooms (+1)
- Bigger skate park
- BMX track improvements (+1)
- Carbon River Access
- Cement walkway between Calistoga and Puyallup River

- More ADA for older residents
- More playgrounds
- More swings
- New play chips
- Obstacles at dog park
- Pickle Ball Courts (+1)
- Puyallup River Access (+1)
- Remote control car park
- River Access (+2)
- Seating/shade at dog park

- Community pool (+4)
- Different play surfacing other than wood chips
- Dog park on North end of town
- Drinking fountain at skate park
- Drinking fountain near City park
- Drinking fountains (+1)
- Extra ball fields at Gratzer
- Fountains/ water features at parks
- Graffiti/ chalk clean up
- Kid stuff/ tovs
- Large light show at City fountain

- Shade/more trees at Whitehawk
   (+1)
- Shade shelters at parks (+1)
- Shelter/shade at Calistoga (+1)
- Small kid toys at City Park
- Splash Pad/ Park (+12)
- Summer activities/ programming
- Tennis courts (+1)
- Toddler area at city park
- Volleyball
- Wind chimes
- Zipline (+6)



City Administrator Scott Larson and Public Works Director Greg Reed at the first Farmers Market of the season on June 11, 2021.

The idea that was most frequently put forth was for a splash pad/park to be added to the parks system in the City. Calistoga Park received the most requested improvements including shade/shelter, permanent bathrooms, and paving the walkway between the park and the Puyallup River access. Other ideas that received support to be implemented at a park within the City included a zipline, community pool, improved river access, BMX track improvements, pickle ball and tennis courts, drinking

fountains, bathrooms, and additional shade at multiple parks.

In the years between the previous PTOS plan update and this update Councilmembers have received input and ideas from the public regarding our parks. Some of these ideas, like resurfacing the basketball court, have been implemented into the Public Works schedule, others such as a Giant Slide and Zipline were added to park-specific plans (those are in the Calistoga Phase II project). Other ideas that have been heard include a Drone Park and a Frisbee Course.

#### Survey Results

A public opinion survey was open for response over the summer. It was advertised on the City's website, Facebook page, and at the farmer's market. The survey

included 17 questions, and asked participants to complete the survey based on their interactions with the City's parks prior to the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic (when parks were operating, maintained, and programmed as they typically had been in 2019). The survey focused on garnering input on how participants use the parks, what drives their selection of which parks to use, their impressions of the parks, as well as input on whether the parks system meets their needs and, if not, how it could be improved.

The survey was open from May 31 to August 31, 2021. A total of 182 responses were collected. The most common age group of those who responded was 35 to 44, followed by 25 to 34 and 45 to 54. The majority of respondents (80% or 145 people) reported having children in their household. Approximately 76% of respondents agreed or strongly agreed that Orting's parks, trails, and recreation opportunities are an important reason for staying in Orting.

When asked what types of park facilities they used, the top three responses were trails (159), water/river access (155), and natural areas/open space (133). This was followed by picnic areas, play courts, sport fields, the skate park, and playgrounds.

Respondents were asked what they would like to see more of, selecting up to three choices, 178 responded with the following:




Figure 3.1: Which of the following would you like to see more of?

When asked which city owned park, they used the most, the results generally matched what was heard at the Farmers Market in that City Park and the Foothills Trail were the most heavily used followed by Calistoga Park. That question was followed up by asking what activity users participate in at the parks the most,

allowing participants to select all answers that applied. The top two answers were leisure (walking, relaxing, etc.) and activities (playground, jogging, etc.).







Figure 3.2: In the last two years, which parks and facilities have you used (select all that apply)?






Question 11 of the survey was evaluated by three different metrics. The question asked participants to rank nine items from most (1) to least (9) important to them based on what the City should focus its parks efforts and funding on. Developing a splash park was most frequently ranked as the most important by respondents followed by maintaining existing parks and upgrading existing parks. Developing a BMX park was most frequently ranked as the least important item on the list by participants. The question also included a weighted average score. This score is based on the number each person ranked each item which are then added together and averaged to get the average ranking for each item. The weighted average scores resulted in upgrading existing parks being the most important followed by

maintaining existing parks and developing a splash park as the top three most important items.





One of the last questions of the survey asked participants if they would be willing to pay a certain amount of money each month in order for the City to get a multi-use park and/or recreation center. The majority of respondents indicated they'd be willing to pay less than \$10/month for such a development.




Figure 3.5: How much would you be willing to pay per month (through a bond, or tax increase) for a multi-use park and/or recreation center?

Participants who indicated they were willing to pay per month for a multi-use park and/or recreation center, were then asked on a subsequent question what they would most like to see at a multi-use park/recreation center. The highest ranked answer (both in weighted average and ranking) was a swimming pool, followed by a community/civic center, playground, athletic/play fields, indoor gym, and open space.

#### LEVEL OF SERVICE

The Level of Service (LOS) standards provide the means for assessing and monitoring the capacity of city land and facilities to meet the demand of the citizens. Under state law and city ordinance, developers of new residences are responsible for meeting the demand for facilities and services that are created by the growth that they generate. This may come in the form of impact fees, land dedication, or site improvements negotiated during the permit process. In the case of current shortfalls of park land, trails, or recreation facilities resulting from city growth, but not addressed by prior developer fees or contributions, public funds will be necessary to pay for land acquisition and facilities.

The LOS standards in the City, up until this update, has relied on park type, categorizing parks as "neighborhood", "mini" or "community" and combining all additional facilities under one standard for "fields and courts". This approach was simple but had inherent flaws – mainly not reflecting how parks are actually used. For instance, City Park was classified as a neighborhood park, when it actually functions as a community park. The previous 2015 plan update indicated that the community was satisfied with the quantity of available parks and open space but wanted to see overall enhancements to the park system in the form of added

features and improvements to existing facilities. A similar trend was seen with the 2021 survey responses with results indicating citizens most want to see the current parks upgraded (most popular response) and maintained (second most popular response). As the City has limited space for additional parks it is appropriate to focus on metrics associated with facilities and amenities rather than park acreage per 1,000 population. This does not require adding park acreage and reflects the needs of the community shown in the responses to the community survey.

The new LOS standards used the benchmarks in the National Recreation and Park Association (NRPA) data and similar communities, as well as community feedback from previous surveys (such as in the last update when value was expressed for open space and natural areas; therefore, a LOS standard of 14 natural resource acres per 1,000 population was established and maintained in this update) to establish appropriate standards in the plan update. As updated, the LOS standards are:

Table 3.2: Parks and Facilities Level of Service

Type of Facility	LOS (facilities/population)
Softball/ Baseball Field	1/2,000 (softball)
	1/2,000 (baseball)
Multi-Use Rectangular Field	1/3,500
(e.g. soccer, football, lacrosse)	
Basketball Courts	1/3,500
(Two half courts are equivalent to one court)	
Tennis/ Pickle/ Racquetball Courts	1/4,000
Playground/ Big Toy	1/1,000
Special Facilities	1/5,000
(e.g. skate park, splash park, BMX park)	
Trails	.25 miles/1,000
Natural Resource Areas/ Open Space	14 acres/ 1,000
Parkland	8 acres/1,000

#### **EXISTING AND FUTURE DEMAND**

Current demand is determined based on the LOS standards and the current population. This demand is existing, and any deficiencies cannot be addressed by new impact fees. The 2022 demands are below.

Table 3.3: Parks and Facilities Current Demand

		Current	
Type of Facility	population*)	Demand	Supply**
Softball/	1/2,000 (softball)	4.52	4
Baseball Fields	1/2,000 (baseball)	4.52	3
Multi-Use Rectangular	1/3,500	2.58	3
Field			
Basketball Courts	1/3,500	2.58	7
Tennis/ Pickle/	1/4,000	2.26	0
Racquetball Courts			
Playground/ Big Toy	1/1,000	9.04	10

Special Facilities	1/5,000	1.81	2	
Trails	.25 miles/1,000	2.26	7.9	
Natural Resource	14 acres/ 1,000	126.56	126.8	
Areas/Open Space				
Parkland	8 acres/1,000	72.32	59.29	
*Based on 2020 census population of 9,041 ** Includes private parks and school facilities				

Orting's population is expected to reach 9,591 by the year 2044, a forecasted increase of 550 residents. These demands (the third column in Table 3.5) are only associated with forecasted growth and do not account for current unmet demand for parks and recreation facilities. Most of the future demand should be addressed through facility donations, impact fees, or other sources of funding. In planning for demand and needs, it is also important to consider how operations and maintenance of new facilities will be funded. Typically, this can be done through the general fund, or sometimes through levies and users' fees. Here too, partnerships should be considered, such as using the resources of the school district to provide services or requiring homeowners' associations take care of facilities within their communities.

Table 3.5 - Future Demand, 2044

Type of Facility	2044 Demand Total	2044 Demand Associated with Growth
Baseball Field	4.80	0.27
Softball Field	4.80	0.27
Multi-Use Rectangular Field	2.74	0.16
Basketball Courts	2.74	0.16
Tennis/ Pickle/ Racquetball Courts	2.40	0.14
Playground/ Big Toy	9.59	0.55
Special Facilities	1.92	0.11
Trails	2.40 miles	0.14 miles
Natural Resource Areas/Open Space	134.26 acres	7.70 acres
Parkland	76.72 acres	4.40 acres

#### **CURRENT NEEDS**

The US Census has showed the City's population to be 9,041 in 2020. The 2021 Pierce County Buildable Lands Report estimates the City's population to increase by 550 to 9,591 by the year 2044.

Table 3.5 lists Orting's current parks and recreation needs, presenting the demand, the current supply (based on the 2021 inventory), and the resulting surplus or need.

**Table 3.6 - Current Needs** 

Type of Facility	LOS (facilities/ population)	Demand	City Supply	Private/ School Supply	Surplus (Need)
Softball/ Baseball	1/2,000 (softball)	4.52	2	2	0
Field	1/2,000 (baseball)	4.52	1	2	(1)
Multi-Use Rectangular Field	1/3,500	2.58	1	2	1
Basketball Courts	1/3,500	2.58	5	2	5
Tennis/ Pickle/ Racquetball Courts	1/4,000	2.26			(2)
Playground/ Big Toy	1/1,000	9.04	9	1	1
Special Facilities	1/5,000	1.81	2		0
Trails	.25 miles/1,000	2.26	7.9		5.64
Natural Resource Areas/Open Space	14 acres/ 1,000	126.56	126.8		0.24
Parkland	8 acres/ 1,000	72.32	49.0	10.26	(13.03)
Based on 2020 population of 9,041					

Based on new growth, the current needs and existing shortfalls according to the LOS standards are:

- Adding tennis/pickle/racquetball parks to the City's parks and facilities inventory as there are currently none.
- An additional baseball field is needed to meet the level of service demands, which has also been expressed as a community need as seen through the current reservation of fields demand.
- An increase in overall parkland throughout the City, through dedication of neighborhood spaces
- Continued improvements and updates to parks, fields, courts, and children's play areas and equipment.

#### **COST ANALYSIS**

The following indicates the range of costs that are associated with the current needs. These are based on the following assumptions:

• Land - Pierce County Assessor's 2021 land values of 21 vacant parcels in and around Orting were investigated (four in the County surrounding the City, and 17 within City limits). In accordance with state law RCW 84.40.030, the land is valued at 100% of its true and fair market value taking into consideration the highest and best use of the property. These parcels range in

size from less than one fourth of an acre to over 48 acres. The assessed value in dollars per acre ranges from \$15,246 to nearly \$750,000 in the heart of the City. The average cost per acre is \$289,635. Site development costs vary considerably depending upon the types of facilities, requirements for drainage and utilities, and the finishes used.

- **Fields** Development costs vary based on a number of factors including intended use, site constraints, vegetation, materials, and field amenities. A range of a \$650,000 to \$800,000 is anticipated dependent on the factors listed.
- Courts Development costs vary based on intended use, site constraints, vegetation, materials, and land acquisition. In looking at comparable estimates for other jurisdictions, an assumed average development cost of \$260,000 per court located within a park would apply.

There are many variables including parcel configuration, proximity to utilities, location, and frontage improvements that can impact development costs. Methods to finance the construction of a new park or improvements to an existing park include the City's general fund, bonds, levies, grants, or donations. In all cases except for grants and donations, the source of the funding would be taxes.

#### **IMPACT FEES**

For future growth to "pay its fair share," impact fees must be based on the adopted LOS and a realistic estimate of the cost of land and facilities necessary to meet the demand. Park Impact Fees are established by City ordinance and administered through the development review process. Fees are collected prior to the issuance of a building permit. Impact fees can be amended periodically to reflect cost escalation or other factors that change over time.

The Orting Park Impact Fee is based on an established formula. The factors in the formula including average household size, level of service for overall parkland, parkland value, and trail land and improvement value. None of these factors had been updated since the original adoption of a PTOS plan by the City in 2003. To update the formula, the trail and parkland values were first evaluated based on updates to unit costs that have occurred from 2003 to 2021, this resulted in a fee that far exceeded that of neighboring jurisdictions and was therefore not used. The values were then alternatively updated on inflation from December 2003 (when the original impact fee was adopted) to September using data from the Bureau of Labor Statistics. The household size was updated based on census data, and the level of service based on the recommendations of this report. The updated values, household size, and parkland level of service resulted in a new park impact fee of \$1,492 per new household.

#### OTHER FUNDING

In order to finance current land acquisition and facility development needs, the City must initiate fund-raising through debt financing such as bond issues or levies, federal, state, or county grants, and private contributions. Partnerships with the Orting School District and the Lion's Club should also be pursued.

Orting is also fortunate in having dedicated volunteers who help with these responsibilities. This important contribution should be leveraged in the future.

Grants are also available, with the Washington State Recreation and Conservation Office (RCO) being a large source of funding. The RCO oversees a range of park and recreation grants, a major one being the Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program (WWRP) – Recreation. This fund provides resources for the design and construction of parks and recreation facilities such as local parks, trails, athletic facilities, and more. The completion of this plan fulfills the planning requirement that allows Orting to be eligible to apply for the WWRP grant. Other sources of funding and grants are available for applicable projects through ports in the region, the WA State Department of Ecology, the WA State Department of Commerce, Pierce County Conservation Futures and potentially various large, private corporations.

#### **OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE COSTS**

While this chapter deals primarily with capital costs and revenues, annual budgeting must acknowledge the City's responsibilities related to the operation and maintenance of parks and facilities, as well as providing recreation programs. The City has budgeted \$101,630 for 2022 parks supplies and services expenditures. Given the current inventory of 59.3 acres of total park land, the anticipated average cost per acre is \$1,713.41. This value excludes capital expenditures, salaries/wages/benefits, and transfers. As total park acreage increases, the annual budget should increase proportionately.

# PARKS, TRAILS & OPEN SPACE PLAN



City of Orting

#### CHAPTER 4: GOALS AND POLICIES

Parks, trails, open space, and recreation goals and policies are consistent between the PTOS Plan and the Orting Comprehensive Plan. Updated policy language will be recommended to the Planning Commission and incorporated into the Comprehensive Plan during the 2022 annual comprehensive plan update. Goals and policies listed below are numbered as they appear in the Comprehensive Plan.

The 2022 PTOS Plan changed policy language to more accurately reflect community values and incorporate input received during the public involvement process. For instance, it was clear through outreach that City Park is the most well-loved and heavily used park in the City. Therefore, a new policy (CF 6.6) has been added to create a master plan for the park to guide future additions and amenities to ensure a comprehensive vision is in place for the park.

#### **Capital Facilities**

#### Goal CF 3

Ensure that the continued development and implementation of the Capital Improvement Program (CIP) reflects the policy priorities of the City Council.

#### Policy CF 3.3

Policy CF 3.3 establishes the Level of Service (LOS) standards for City facilities and services including water supply, sanitary sewer, fire protection, police, and parks.

Parks, Trails and Open Space LOS: The following level of service standards shall apply to land and facilities:

Type of Facility	LOS (facilities/population)
Baseball/Softball Field	1/2,000 (softball)
	1/2,000 (baseball)
Multi-Use Rectangular Field	1/3,500
(e.g., soccer, football, lacrosse)	
Basketball Courts	1/3,500
(Two half courts are equivalent to one court)	
Tennis/ Pickle Ball/ Racquetball Courts	1/4,000
Playground/ Big Toy	1/1,000
Special Facilities	1/5,000

(e.g., skate park, splash park, BMX park)	
Trails	.25 miles/1,000
Natural Resource Areas/ Open Space	14 acres/ 1,000
Parkland	8 acres/1,000

- Goal CF 6 Develop a system of parks and recreation facilities that is attractive, safe, and available to all segments of the population.
- **Policy CF 6.1** Mitigate impacts on parks, trails, and the recreation system from new growth based on impact fees, land dedication, and/or facility donations based on the level of service standards.
- **Policy CF 6.2** Cooperate and coordinate with the school district, other public agencies and private groups through the use of interlocal agreements and contracts to meet the recreation needs of the City.
- **Policy CF 6.3** Support continued development of the Foothills Trail and related links and parks for bicycles, pedestrians and equestrians, running through Pierce County to Mount Rainier National Park.
- **Policy CF 6.4** Develop a network of parks, open space and trails throughout the city for pedestrians, bicycles and equestrians, with priorities on:
  - a. The dedication and development of lands which would link with the Foothills Trail, the downtown parks, the Puyallup and Carbon River waterfront corridors and a linkage across the Carbon River to the Cascadia trail system,
  - b. Maintaining and improving the accessibility, usability, and safety of Orting's parks and trails, and
  - c. Sustaining community-wide efforts to improve public access to the Carbon and Puyallup Rivers at those points along the banks which best fulfill the criteria for education, accessibility and restoration as outlined in the 2009 Shoreline Master Program.
- Policy CF 6.5 Future park plans or remodels should prioritize barrier-free equipment additions, such as wheelchair swings, adaptive spinners, or the like where none currently exist.
- **Policy CF 6.6** Create and periodically review and update a Master Plan for City Park to provide for cohesive development of the park.

**Policy CF 6.7** Work with Pierce County and applicable agencies to identify and help mitigate impacts to Calistoga Park.

#### Land Use: Open Space and Recreation

# Goal OS 1 The Recreation/Open Space Land Use Category is intended to acknowledge and protect the City's public parks and open spaces through public and private initiatives including incentives, transfer of development rights, public land acquisition, greenways, conservation easements, and other techniques.

- **Policy OS 1.1** The Recreation/Open Space district is for areas devoted to public recreational facilities such as parks and trails and areas that have been preserved as open spaces through a variety of open space programs.
- **Policy OS 1.2** Recognize the important recreational and transportation roles played by regional bicycle trail systems, and support efforts to develop a coordinated system of greenway trails throughout the region.
- Policy OS 1.3 Promote the use of property tax reductions as an incentive to preserve desirable lands as a public benefit and encourage and support the participation of community-based non-profit organizations offering options and alternatives to development in the interest of preserving desirable lands as a public benefit.

To learn more about the content of the Comprehensive Plan, please contact City Hall or view the full document online at the City's website.

## PARKS, TRAILS & OPEN SPACE PLAN



City of Orting

#### CHAPTER 5: CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

A capital improvement program (CIP) identifies projects, approximate timeline, costs, and potential funding sources for various investments in the acquisition, development, or improvement of parks and recreation facilities for the next six years. The six-year timeline is dependent upon variables such as funding availability, grants, Council budget process, etc. Revisions may occur if opportunities arise to develop facilities or sites not on this list. Generally, these projects are those that have been identified as the highest priority through public outreach, parks board meetings, staff knowledge, and City Council input. In developing this list, the input listed above is taken into consideration as well as potential grant funds/cycles, potential long-term maintenance obligations attached to the projects, staff capacity, land availability, and funding.

#### PLANNING ACTIVITY

This section describes previously completed projects and the projects listed in Table 5.1, below.

Multiple of the projects listed in the previous plan's Capital Facility and Improvement Program have since been completed. Ones that are partially complete or currently underway are shown in the updated CIP as they have additional phases that require funding. The CIP also includes new projects that emerged as priorities from the public outreach.

#### Completed Projects

#### • Calistoga Park Phase I Improvements

A big toy, swings and other park equipment were installed by the Public Works Department and volunteers in 2019.

#### Calistoga Setback Levee Trail

From Calistoga Park there is access to the Puyallup River levee. A trail was completed along the levee for the public to enjoy, it includes river access at points along the trail.

#### Gratzer Park Phase II

This project included a multi-use rectangular field, walking path, and big toy and construction began in fall 2021.

#### Current PTOS CIP Projects

#### • Emergency Evacuation Bridge

This project involves the construction of a bridge spanning SR 162 near Rocky Road NE. The City has a grant for two-thirds of the \$9 million project cost but is looking for the additional \$3 million in funds. This bridge will provide a safe pathway for Orting citizens and will enhance the City's disaster preparedness. The bridge connects to the Foothills Trail and will provide for easy and safe passage to the other side of SR 162 for pedestrians.

#### Gratzer Park Wetland Mitigation

Phase I was installed by the City's contract engineer at Parametrix and the Public Works Department in spring 2021. Phase II is budgeted for \$20,000 and includes buffer and wetland enhancement plantings. The portion of the Phase II plantings along the setback levee, west of the soccer field will be completed in 2022. The rest of the plantings are likely to be delayed due to an associated City project and will be planted at a later date. The City hopes to have a school group or volunteer group complete the mitigation planting associated with Phase II.

#### Calistoga Park Phase II Improvements

Phase II of the Calistoga Park project includes a zipline and giant slide. This phase of the project is on hold, and therefore not included in the final CIP project table, due to the Jones Levee Setback which is currently projected to impact this park.

#### City Park Gazebo Renovation

A new roof was put on the gazebo after the last PTOS plan update. The next phase includes pressure washing and painting the gazebo which is slated to occur in 2022.

#### Fountain Improvements

Improvements are planned for the fountain on the western corner of Washington Avenue and Calistoga Street at North Park. Upgrades to the fountain, would include concrete stamped to look like river rock, upgrades to the fountain and columns for water to shoot out of, a possible brick wall that would double as extra seating, and a plaque that would acknowledge donors of the original structure. This project has a cost estimate of \$15,000.

#### • City Park Master Plan

City Park is the most heavily used park within Orting. In the public outreach, it was clear it was a favorite park amongst most residents as well as people who live outside of town. Desired improvements for the park include adding a toddler play area, replacing the play surface, potentially locating the splash pad within the park, an additional water fountain, restroom updates and more. A Master Plan, estimated at \$35,000 will express the City's overall vision for the park, identify necessary and desired improvements, and allow the park to be updated in a cohesive manner rather than through ad-hoc improvements.

#### • Park Ground Cover Replacement

The City of Orting has a goal to make all parks inclusive and accessible to all.

This project is to replace the ground cover around all play structures and access routes so that wheelchairs, walkers, and other mobility devices can easily get around the park and existing toys. The price is TBD as it will depend on which park's ground cover is being replaced, the amount of surface area involved and the type of replacement itself (wood chips to mats or asphalt etc.) An example can



Rainer Meadows Park looking west.

be seen at the Rainer Meadows Park in the adjacent image in which there is a paved path into the park, but not to the play equipment (surrounded by wood chips) or basketball court (surrounded by grass).

#### • Whitehawk Boulevard Trail Spur

This project would extend the Foothills Trail spur that currently exists east of the Orting Middle School and runs parallel to Whitehawk Boulevard. This project is to extend the trail spur south to the intersection with Orting Avenue NW, follow the proposed Whitehawk Boulevard alignment south and connect to Calistoga St West at the intersection with Kansas Street SW. The spur would provide a connection for pedestrians to Soldier's Home via sidewalks. It is planned to occur as part of the Whitehawk Boulevard Extension project, the cost estimate is TBD at this time as the cost for the trail has not been separated out of the cost for the overall project.

#### City Park Parking Lot Paving

The majority of the parking lots associated with the City's parks are not paved. Paving will aid in the City's efforts to be ADA friendly. The Parks

Board has indicated that paving the parking lots is a priority and places City Park at the top of its list. The cost estimate of \$605,000 includes the paving, landscaping, and stormwater facilities (detention/retention and water quality).

#### • PSE Power Line Easement Trail - Safe Routes to School

Puget Sound Energy (PSE) owns right-of-way through Orting that contains a major power line. The right-of-way could be improved to form a connector trail between parks and Orting Middle and Elementary Schools (see the map in Figure 5.1) estimated at \$800,000. Trail improvements will be contingent on a liability agreement between PSE and the City of Orting. The Washington State Department of Transportation's Safe Routes to School program may be a potential funding source. Winning projects must demonstrate that the necessary groundwork has been laid to complete the project within the two-year grant cycle.

#### • Splash Park/Pad

A splash park, or spray pad, is a recreation area for water play that has little to no standing water. This was a popular desire expressed by the public both through the survey and the farmer's market outreach. It was also part of the previous parks plan update. A location for such a park would need to be identified by the City and Parks Board. The item would include design and construction. The cost estimate presented in Table 5.1 is a range that can vary based on size of the splash pad, number of features, infrastructure upgrades and site improvements. The cost does not include land acquisition (it is assumed this would be located in an existing City park) and is based on the City of Puyallup's spray park estimate which includes 8 spray features, seating, site work, water and drainage service. It is important to note the cost listed is just the capital cost, maintenance of the splash park is estimated between \$50-\$100k annually.

#### • Baseball Field

Baseball field reservations are made through the City. Staff has indicated that teams are often turned away, especially at popular times, as the fields are all booked. Additionally, the LOS metrics indicate the City does not have a sufficient number of baseball fields. This item includes identifying a location, design, and construction of a field in an existing park. The cost estimate is given as a range, \$650,000 - \$800,000 due to potential infrastructure upgrades, amount and type of amenities, and field specifications (size, turf vs grass, etc.).

#### Pump Track

A pump track is a looped sequence of rollers and banked turns designed to maximize the biker's momentum so that minimal pedaling is necessary. The Parks Board has been working on adding a pump track to the existing BMX Track at Charter Park (the skate park). The Board received presentations on the construction of such a park, which is recommended to be asphalt rather than dirt due to climate challenges. Grants are available through the National Recreation and Parks Association (NRPA) Grant. The NRPA grant is a competitive grant for which the City would need to provide a user engagement and design plan, which costs approximately \$7,500 to complete. The range shown in the CIP table includes design and construction of a smaller version up to a larger, higher end version.

The table below lists capital facility and improvement projects for the next 6 years.

Table 5.1: Capital Facility and Improvement Program


Project Year Cost Estimate Funding						
Emergency Evacuation Bridge	2022-2027	\$9,000,000	Grants, General Fund, REET			
Gratzer Park Wetland Mitigation	2022 - 2026	\$20,000	General Fund, REET, Impact Fees			
City Park Gazebo Renovation	2022	\$3,000	General Fund, REET, Impact Fees			
Fountain Improvements	2022-2024	\$15,000	General Fund, REET, Impact Fees			
City Park Master Plan	2023	\$35,000 - \$50,000	Grants, General Fund, REET			
Park Ground Cover Replacement	2023- 2026	TBD	Grants, General Fund, REET			
Whitehawk Boulevard Trail Spur	2024-2026	TBD	Grants, General Fund, REET			
City Park Parking Lot Paving	2024-2026	\$605,000	Grants, General Fund, REET, Impact Fees			
PSE Power Line Easement Trail	TBD	\$800,000	Grants, Impact Fees, General Fund, REET			
Splash Park	TBD	\$350,000 - \$450,000	Impact Fees, Grants, Donations, General Fund, REET			
Baseball Field	TBD	\$650,000 - \$800,000	Impact Fees, Grants, Donations, General Fund, REET			
Pump Track	TBD	\$85,000 - \$175,000	Grants, Impact Fees, Donations, General Fund, REET			

#### Potential Future Projects

Other preferences emerged from the public outreach. While they did not make it into the CIP, it is good to be aware of these preferences for future plan changes, or if applicable grant funding is available. They include:

- Improve river/ shoreline access.
- Additional shade, through trees or structures, at parks; specifically, Whitehawk and Calistoga.
- Increase indoor recreation opportunities.
- Locate new barrier-free, playground equipment in existing parks that is useable by people of all abilities and mobilities. Ideas include inclusive slides, equipment that can be used by those in wheelchairs and walkers, sensory activities, ground-level play activities, and inclusive swings.

Figure 5.1: CIP Projects Map



# PARKS, TRAILS & OPEN SPACE PLAN



City of Orting

#### APPENDIX A: PUBLIC OUTREACH & COMMUNICATION

The Orting Parks, Trails & Open Space Plan (PTOS) has undergone multiple phases of public involvement. The first phase evolved from the initial Growth Management Act (GMA) planning efforts of the 1990s and was designed to ensure the Orting community had the opportunity to influence the first parks plan, adopted in 2003. The City and the Parks Commission sustained ongoing public outreach efforts to include significant public input in the development of Gratzer Park and North Park, and an outreach effort was launched to gather public input to update the PTOS in 2010. The public was further engaged during the current update process.

Appendix A summarizes public involvement efforts from 2003 to 2015 and presents a record of the details of public involvement contributing to the current update.

#### 2003: CREATING THE PTOS & PUBLIC OUTREACH

Parks planning was not acknowledged with urgency in the City of Orting until the late '90s. Orting experienced significant growth over the prior decade with a population nearly doubling in size, and additional growth forecasted. Orting's parks and recreational needs had previously been satisfied by an abundance of undeveloped lands and the development of the Foothills Trail, and the need for parks was viewed with less urgency than resolving transportation issues, regulating land development, and ensuring utility availability. These opinions were the result of the visioning process that launched early '90s GMA planning efforts where citizens participated in workshops, meetings and surveys.

Growth both changed the nature of the community and the attitudes of residents. As new neighborhoods were developed, additional park and open space land and recreation facilities were either dedicated to the City or maintained by homeowners' associations. New residents brought new ideas about the need for, and function of, parks.

By 2000, the Orting Parks Commission, the Planning Commission and the City Council agreed that planning for current and future demand was necessary. Public testimony at meetings highlighted concerns about the availability, location and design of parks and facilities. Commission members and the Mayor discussed

recreation needs and potential projects with citizens leading to the scope of work for the planning. The existing network of parks volunteers and annual City Park volunteer events were used as an opportunity for communication.

When the parks planning process was launched, the Parks and Planning Commissions sponsored workshops and held joint meetings where citizens were asked to provide suggestions for parks, trails, and recreation facilities. At the final workshop, citizens were invited to participate in an exercise aimed at defining parks preferences. "Orting Bucks" were distributed to all participants who could then spend them on any combination of desired parks and recreation facilities.



As the initial PTOS Plan took shape, community outreach broadened to local media including two community-based newspapers; the Country Gazette, and the East County Sun. The Draft Plan and presentation slides were also made accessible online. The Parks Commission passed a motion endorsing the draft at its January public meeting in 2003. The Planning Commission held a public hearing on February 3, 2003 and passed a motion recommending adoption by the City Council (see Figure A-2 and Figure A-3). The Council held its hearing on March 13 and adopted the plan as recommended by the Commissions.

YEAR 2 • NUMBER 5 • THE INDEPENDENT VOICE OF PIERCE COUNTY, WASHINGTON • January 29, 2003

## Orting's Parks, Trails and Open Space Plan to be examined

#### First public hearing set for February 3

by Cherie Kuranko
A considerable amount of growth
occurred in the City of Orting during
the 90s and Orting's population continues to rise each year. As the population
spirals upward, a demand for more
recreational facilities and programs
climbs as well.

In the past, the City has relied on the Comprehensive Plan to continue adding to its parks, trails and other recreational facilities. The ten-year-old plan has helped gain property for parks and open space land from the developers that have been building houses in our valley, but the plan is now considered outdated and unable to keep pace with current growth.

In June of 2002, the City Council,

In June of 2002, the City Council, Planning Commission and Parks Commission initiated the formal process for developing a new plan. The new plan, currently in the draft stage, is called the (Draft) Parks, Trails and Open Space Plan.

Mayor Jones stated, "The City of Orting is proud of the quality of life it offers to its residents and the attractions that bring visitors to our community. As our City grows with new families and businesses, we understand the critical importance of maintaining our lush valley setting, providing opportunities for recreation, and respecting our

traditions. This draft Parks, Trails and Open Space Plan is our first attempt at defining a long-range approach to meeting this vision."

Since June, a great deal has been accomplished. Several public meetings and an open house were held last year to allow citizens an opportunity to view and discuss the plan and to ask questions or make suggestions. It was during the course of these public meetings and through long hours spent fine-tuning the project at regular meetings that the draft plan was developed.

Before it could be decided what types of facilities Orting lacked, the group needed an inventory of the current ones already available in Orting. As seen in the graphic accompanying this article, an inventory of the existing parks, trails and other recreational facilities was completed and contains a blend of city, school, private and other public-owned properties.

With an inventory in place, the plan moved forward to address how many and what type of recreational facilities are still needed at the present time and in the future. To accomplish this, Orting's population and the Level of Service Standards, both current and projected figures, were used. The Level of Service Standards (LOS) provides the basis for assessing and monitoring the capacity of city land and facilities to meet the demand of the citizens:

Mini-Parks (tot lots), 2 acres per 1,000 population;

Neighborhood Parks, 2 acres per 1,000 population;

Community Parks, 5 acres per 1,000 population;

Fields and Courts, 1 acre per 1,000 population (located in parks);

Trails, 1 mile per 1,000 population (some may be in parks).

These are based on an analysis of similar standards used by other jurisdictions in Washington as well as national guidelines.

Based on these Level of Service Standards, it was determined that in year 2002 there was an existing demand for 6 acres of mini-parks, 20 acres of community parks, several play fields and sports courts and one mile of trail. The draft states that some of these unmet needs will be met by the completion of new facilities on land dedicated to the City or private parks included in recent projects approved by the City or by related actions. The City has come up with a couple of options to meet the remaining demands. Those options are as follows:

A community park of sufficient size to accommodate several play fields and courts suitable for junior and adult league play, along with passive recreation, children's play area(s), picnic facilities, restrooms and off-street parking that can be used for community events and activities. This option's estimated cost is \$270,000 - \$390,000, with the size listed as 20 acres.

The other option would be to provide a distribution of more intensive facilities within 2-3 parks that would be larger than neighborhood parks, somewhat similar to Calistoga Park, ideally located on an arterial for community access. This second option is estimated to cost between \$260,000 and \$450,000 and is listed as two "Super" Neighborhood Parks at 8-10 acres each.

Trail connections linking the Foothills Trail, the "Powerline Trail," and the proposed Carbon River bridges are included with both of the above options. The trail portion is estimated at an additional \$25,000 to \$40,000.

The draft plan states the method to finance these costs for the current needs is through "the City general fund, bonds, levies, or grants. In all cases except for grants, the source of the funding would be taxes."

Over the next 15-20 years in the City is expected to double in population and therefore would need to add 14 acres of miniparks, 40 acres of community parks, 4+ play fields, 4+ sport courts and 4 miles of trail to stay current with the city's growth.

The expenses for these future recreational needs are to be addressed through developer dedications, facility donations or impact fees. Changes and additions are being made to the Comprehensive Plan that will allow the City to collect funds

for these projects as new housing developments are constructed in our area.

The City of Orting would like to encourage citizens to attend the first public hearing on February 3, 7 p.m. at Orting City Hall. It welcomes participation, questions and comments.

Working closely with the Parks Commission, Planning Commission and City Council, an excellent draft plan was written by City Planning Consultant Roger Wagoner of Berryman & Henigar that explains the details in an easyto-read format and provides graphics that help visualize what this plan is all about.

If anyone is interested in reading more about the plan before attending the public hearing, a copy of the Draft Parks, Trails and Open Space Plan can be obtained at City Hall or at the web site www.bh-seattle.xohost.com/client/orting/ortine.htm.

PARK/FACILITY TYPE	2002 DEMAND	2002 SUPPLY	2017 DEMAND
Mini-Parks	8 Acres	1.83 Acres	16 Acres
Neighborhood Parks	8 Acres	21.64 Acres	16 Acres
Community Parks	20 Acres	0	40 Acres
School-Parks		(limited)	
Natural Resource Areas	N/A	36.17 Acres	
Greenways	N/A	19.92 Acres (14.28 Acres)	
Special Use Parks	1	1	
Fields & Courts	4-5 Fields 4-5 Courts	3 Half Courts; 1 Basketball Court 2 Ball Fields	~10 Fields ~10 Courts
Trails	4 Miles	1.5 (+1.7) Miles	8 Miles

Comparison of Orting s supply and demand for parks last year and fifteen years from now.

Figure A-1: Article about the 2003 Orting *Parks, Trails & Open Space Plan* published in a local newspaper.

Page B-2, The SUN, January 29, 2003

News Next Door Policy: This space is for nonprofit events or organization a

Parks, Trails and Open Space Plan

The first public hearing will be held on Monday, February 3 at
7 p.m. at Orting City Hall for the Parks, Trails and Open Space Draft
Plan. Citizens are encouraged to attend the meeting and participate.

A copy of the draft plan can be obtained at City Hall or on the web
at www.BH-Seattle.xohost.com/client/orting.htm.

Figure A-2: Announcement for a public hearing prior to the adoption of the 2003 Plan




Figure A-3: 2003 Publicity for the initial Orting Parks, Trails & Open Space Plan

#### 2010 UPDATE

Public outreach for the PTOS Plan update began the summer of 2009 after the Parks & Planning Commissions reviewed an updated parks inventory and a population-based needs analysis. The outreach effort included a public open house and an online survey.

#### Public Open House

Members of both Commissions posted fliers about the public open house around town (see Figure A-5). The flier and a one-sheet responding to frequently asked questions about the parks plan update process (see Figure A-5) were on display at the City's table at the October 2009 Pumpkin Festival in downtown Orting. The open house was also announced on the City reader board at the intersection of Washington Avenue North and Williams Boulevard Northwest north of town several days before the event.

On Thursday, October 22nd, a dozen folks or so braved the road construction and dropping temperatures to stop by Orting Station, enjoy some free cookies and coffee, and talk about the future of Orting's park system. Each person was greeted with a stack of \$100 in Orting Park Bucks to spend as they pleased on options for the future of parks, trails and open space. In the end, people at the Open House spent a total of \$1,100 in Orting Park Bucks on five categories:

•	Improved River Access	\$340	31% of total
•	More Trails	\$260	24%
•	More Fields, Courts & Facilities for Neighborhood Parks	\$200	18%
•	More Ballfields in Gratzer Park	\$180	16%
•	More Mini-Parks	\$120	11%

#### Survey

A survey was used to gather additional public input. The survey was posted online and announced on the City's website, as well as on the City reader board. Fliers for the public open house directed people to the online survey, and paper copies of the survey were made available at the City Hall and at the Public Safety Building. Additional respondents, including a number of teenagers, completed paper copies of the survey at the October Pumpkin Festival. A flier describing the parks plan update and directing community members to the online survey was included in Orting's February 2010 utility bill. Announcements about the survey were made at Planning Commission, Parks Commission, and Chamber of Commerce meetings in January and February 2010.

The survey was open from the end of September 2009 through the end of February 2010; it was taken by 63 people.




Figure A-4: How respondents say they use parks, trails & open space in Orting

For their top three favorite spaces, survey respondents listed:

- 1. Foothills Trail
- City Park
- 3. North Park

What respondents said the City should do to make parks and trails better within the next five years (Top Six Answers)

- 1. Improve access to rivers
- 2. Add more big toys in neighborhood parks and mini parks
- 3. Improve City Park (suggestions include updating big toys, replace the slide tunnel, wading pool, rock climbing wall)
- 4. Keep parks clean, maintained, and updated (such as adding garbage cans and restrooms)
- 5. Adult sports programming
- 6. Dog park and or dog baggie stations along trail

#### 2015 UPDATE

The City sought to integrate and coordinate the PTOS Plan update with the 2015 Comprehensive Plan update. The PTOS Plan update engaged the public through an open house and several surveys, but also built upon the foundation of earlier public outreach undertaken for the Comprehensive Plan update. The findings of the PTOS Plan public outreach and involvement revealed a community that was generally satisfied by the quantity of public parks and facilities but would like to see improvements in quality and programs offered. As a result of the feedback, the City surveyed the community again to identity program improvements.

#### Comprehensive Plan Outreach


Public outreach for the 2015 PTOS Plan update occurred in conjunction with the 2015 Comprehensive Plan update. Outreach began with the Comprehensive Plan online public opinion survey. The survey received 122 responses between November 2013 and April 2014. Survey results revealed that 8-percent of participants valued "recreational opportunities" and 44-percent valued the "rural setting" and "natural beauty" above all other aspects of Orting. When asked to rate how the City was doing in terms of parks, about 85-percent of participants indicated "good" or "excellent". In terms of recreation, about 70-percent of participants indicated "good" or "excellent".

This initial survey revealed that the community was largely satisfied with the provision of parks and recreational opportunities within the City, and the high value expressed for the "rural setting" inspired the creation of a new Level of Service standard for Natural Resource Areas. The preliminary results of the survey were presented at the Comprehensive Plan Community Open House on February 5, 2014.

#### Open House

On September 17, 2014 a joint open house was held for the PTOS Plan update and Comprehensive Plan update at the Orting Station. This was the second open house for the Comprehensive Plan update. The open house attracted 40 visitors, from within and just outside Orting. Posters were displayed that depicted aspects of both plans, including parks, shoreline, economic development, land use, transportation, utilities, and the environment. The results of the Comprehensive Plan Survey were also graphically displayed. The visual aids created a comprehensive picture of Orting. The parks posters portrayed the updated inventory and current parks planning activities, Gratzer Park improvements, and shoreline public access. The PTOS Plan was also available for review.

Visitors were asked to comment on the posters by writing on post-its and sticking them to the displays. Visitors were informally interviewed by City staff, planning consultants, and Parks Commissioners. Verbal parks-related comments received



**Figure A-5: Open House Comments** 

addressed the desire for community gardens in existing Community Parks, availability of parking as the City grows, and need for general improvements. Overall, visitors were pleased with the quantity of parks within the City. Visitors were encouraged to complete a paper copy of the Parks survey or directed to complete the survey online.

#### Parks Public Opinion Survey

The Parks, Trails and Open Space online public opinion survey was launched September 4, 2014 and open through the end of the month. Similar to the previous Comprehensive Plan survey, the survey was advertised on the City's official webpage, through social media, by word of mouth, and on the City's reader board.

Paper copies of the survey were made available at City Hall and at the September Open House. Overall, participants appear to be generally satisfied with the quantity of parks but would like to see general improvements and upgrades.

There was a total of 56 participants. Several demographic questions were asked to obtain a sense of who was participating. The average participant was 40-years old and had lived in Orting for 9 years. The average household size was 4.4. This demographic data was similar to the with 2010 Census results, which found average age in Orting to be 32.7 years old with a third of the population under the age of 19, and the average family size to be 3.34 persons. Orting is a community of small, young families.

The parks survey was informed by the results of the 2010 update survey, as well as the Comprehensive Plan survey. The idea was to build on earlier results.

#### Recreation Program Survey

The results of the 2014 public opinion survey revealed the community's interest in seeing increased youth and adult recreation program opportunities. The City followed up with a Recreation Program Survey. The survey was promoted through the City's website and through social media. The survey had 28 participants.

The 6-question survey asked participants which programs they have previously participated in, sought to identify other programs of interest, included questions

related to program registration and communication, and even asked for requests for potential instructors.

#### 2022 PLAN UPDATE

For a summary of the public participation and communication completed in 2021 and 2022 for the current update including the public opinion survey and farmer's market outreach see Chapter 3.

## City of Orting Council Agenda Summary Sheet

	Agenda Bill #	Recommending Committee	Study Session Dates	Regular Meeting Dates			
Cultinati	AB22-60						
Subject:  Main Parks		Parks Advisory Board	6.15.2022	6.29.2022			
Master Plan		CGA 7.6.17					
RFQ							
	Department:	Admin.					
	Date Submitted:	6.15.2022					
Cost of Item:		<u>\$45,000</u>					
Amount Budge	Amount Budgeted:		<u>\$50,000</u>				
Unexpended Ba	alance:	<u>\$ 5,000</u>					
Bars #:		TBD					
Timeline:		Proposed project start date of 7/1/22					
<b>Submitted By:</b>		Scott Larson					
Fiscal Note: No	ne						

Attachments: Request for Qualifications Solicitation; MacLeod Reckord Qualifications Proposal

#### **SUMMARY STATEMENT:**

The Council authorized funds, as part of the budget and in line with our Parks, Trails and Open Space Plan, to go through a main park master planning exercise. The intent of the exercise is to create a level of planning and design that would allow the city to be eligible for various grant and funding opportunities, especially RCO grants. Staff advertised for qualified firms in May and received submissions in early June. Staff and Parks Advisory Board members selected four firms to interview. Mr. Shane Fairbanks of the Parks Advisory Board participated with staff in the interview process.

From that interview process the panel is recommending that we move forward with MacLeod Reckord to complete the master plan. MacLeod Reckord has a demonstrated history of working on parks that have multi-use trails that run through them and spent a lot of time identifying in their proposal a number of challenges and opportunities. More specifically, for the main city park, MacLeod identified a lack of cohesion between the sections of the main parks, as well as the impacts from the highway adjacent to the parks, and had initial ideas on how to create improvements.

The full master planning process will involve a large amount of community outreach and engagement, and MacLeod has the experience to assist the city with garnering as much public input as possible. Further, MacLeod will be working with the Parks Advisory Board and Counsel along the way so that the outcome of the process is something that the counsel will adopt. See the attached Request for Qualifications document for additional information on scope.

#### **RECOMMENDED MOTION:** Motion:

To authorize the Mayor to sign a contract with MacLeod Reckord for Main Parks Master Planning services in an amount not to exceed \$45,000.

## MAIN PARKS MASTER PLAN

Submitted by MacLeod Reckord



Landscape Architecture 🔳 Planning 🔳 Urban Design 110 Prefontaine Place South, Suite 600

Seattle, Washington 98104

P 206-323-7919, C 206-226-6210 connier@macleodreckord.com

May 27, 2022

Scott Larson, City Administrator City of Orting 104 Bridge Street South Orting, WA 98360

#### RE: REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS FOR MAIN PARKS MASTER PLAN

MacLeod Reckord is pleased to submit our qualifications for the Orting Main Parks Master Plan. The Main Parks blocks, including City Hall and the Public Safety Building, have the potential to become an elegant, iconic, and connected civic space where the community comes to celebrate, recreate, mingle, picnic, play, relax, listen to music, and do business at City Hall. Supporting these activities and community values is paramount to our public recreation and civic work.

MacLeod Reckord is a well-established Northwest landscape architecture firm with over fifty years of experience in planning and design exclusively for public spaces, parks, and trails. We bring to this project a unique combination of expertise in both park planning and design, and regional trail design.

MacLeod Reckord has worked on numerous park projects similar in scale and importance including Downtown Bellevue Park, Covington Community Park, Millennium Park Plaza, and many others. Each of these projects gracefully balanced competing program elements into a single vibrant, coherent, and connected space.

MacLeod Reckord is also responsible for many of the iconic regional trails in the region including the original section of the Burke Gilman Trail in Seattle, the Centennial Trail in Snohomish County, and the Interurban Trail through multiple jurisdictions. We are currently working on the Pipeline Trail in Pierce County which ultimately will connect to the Foothills Trail. These trail projects included design of trailheads with parking, restrooms, information kiosks, and wayfinding signs, as well as integration with surrounding parks and open space.

We have extensive experience as a prime design consultant, leading full-service teams, on many similar local and community park and civic design projects throughout Washington and Oregon.

Given the scope and conceptual nature of the Main Parks Master Plan, MacLeod Reckord will perform most of the work, however we will have the following expertise available on an as-needed basis:

PACE Engineers, Inc. providing civil and structural engineering and environmental and land-use permitting as required. PACE has been a longtime partner with MacLeod Reckord providing these same services for parks and trails in the region for over 20 years.

As the program requires, we can also call upon other team members to provide master plan level services for architectural evaluation, design, and cost estimating, geotechnical evaluation and engineering, traffic study, and cultural resource evaluation.

The Main Parks blocks are a tremendous asset for the Orting community. We would welcome the opportunity to work with the City of Orting's staff and citizens to develope a plan that celebrates the vision and importance of Orting's Main Parks.

Sincerely

Connie Reckord

David Saxen Principal Associate

Arielle Farina Williams

Associate

## ☐ EXPERIENCE

Design excellence in the public realm has been a hallmark of MacLeod Reckord's work for over 50 years. We have worked in a great variety of circumstances in the Northwest and have a sense of the appropriate balance between innovation and pragmatism. We have worked on many notable regional and local landscape architecture projects and are confident in our ability to meet client needs. Thoughtful and experienced planning and design, combined with proven managerial and technical skills, ensures success in all our projects.

MACLEOD RECKORD PLLC is a recognized Northwest design firm, established in 1968, and founded with the purpose of specializing in planning and design of public spaces. Our expertise spans an extraordinary range of landscapes including recreational parks, conservation lands, urban centers, campuses, and multi-modal corridors of all size and scale. Our success in public space design is a result of meeting changing needs of the public while maintaining our core values of design excellence, balance between innovation and pragmatism, and professional service. Based in Seattle, we have successfully completed several hundred projects in Washington, Oregon, Idaho, California, Alaska, and British Columbia. Our firm maintains a comfortable and responsive principal-staff ratio allowing all principals direct involvement in project design, evolution and management.

Over the past two years our firm has successfully transitioned to a hybrid model and expanded to include team members not only in Seattle, but also Eastern Washington and the Olympic Peninsula, giving us greater access to projects and clients we've had in these regions for years.

MacLeod Reckord is a state certified Women's Business Enterprise (WBE) and Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE), a Small Business Enterprise (SBE), and a certified SCS firm recognized by King County, Port of Seattle, Sound Transit and others. For applicable contracts, we have an approved audited FAR overhead rate.

#### LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE PLANNING AND DESIGN

The firm provides full project design services including project programming, feasibility and alternatives analysis, master planning, preliminary and final design and documentation, cost opinion, phasing analysis, and construction support. Past and current work ranges in scale and scope and includes: large multi-use parks as well as regional, community, and small neighborhood parks; regional and local trail systems; urban parks, plazas and downtown redevelopment projects; and campus planning that includes academic, business, civic, and community facilities.

#### **PARK PLANNING**

MacLeod Reckord has a long history of successful park planning that includes integrating an array of program elements in a manner that is well defined, safe, legible, and informative. We have been fortunate to design several parks on lakefronts or along riverfront shorelines that include protection and enhancement of critical areas, including streams, shorelines, and wetlands such as Deception Pass State Park, Everett Riverfront Development, and Lake Goodwin Park. Many have included integrating park program elements with surrounding mixed uses such as single and multi-family residential, community centers, regional trails, commercial and active recreation facilities such as Angle Lake Park, YMCA at Morrill Meadows Park, and Sammamish City Hall. Park program elements have included trails, picnic areas, play areas, splash parks, interpretive loops and viewpoints, and more. We understand the challenges and see the opportunities of resolving a park program that meets overarching goals while satisfying the interests of a range of individual users.







## COMPLETE STREETS, TRAIL, AND NON-MOTORIZED PLANNING AND DESIGN

MacLeod Reckord has been at the forefront of Smart Mobility Design, a practice and strategy of implementing smart and sustainable solutions that transform communities into more livable, walkable, and secure environments. We are leaders in local and regional multimodal corridor planning, with unmatched experience in the planning and design of trail and greenway projects such as the Kitsap Trail and Greenway Master Plan, the Centennial Trail, Green Lake Path, Seattle's and King County's Burke Gilman Trail, the Snoqualmie Valley Trail Master Plan, the Iron Horse Trail Master Plan, and Interurban Trail components throughout several jurisdictions. The firm authored the chapter "Bicycle Facility Design" in Time Saver Standards for Landscape Architecture. We have developed non-motorized plans, implementing the principles of Smart Mobility Design and developing policy and design standards for Complete Streets, for Island County and the cities of Mercer Island, Renton, Lake Forest Park, Maple Valley, Kingston, and Twisp.

#### **URBAN DESIGN**

Integrating our interest and expertise in park and transportation planning, MacLeod Reckord has notable achievements in the design and implementation of a variety of major urban design projects. We have lead design efforts for such iconic urban venues as Bellevue's Downtown Park, Port Townsend Urban Design, Millennium Plaza Park, Sammamish Commons, and the Lake Oswego Downtown Redevelopment. We have provided urban design support for Seattle's Freeway Park and Seattle's Mercer West Corridor. Many of the firm's urban design projects have involved challenging programming conflicts and extreme physical constraints in historic downtown cores, waterfront communities, and evolving retail or mixed-use developments. MacLeod Reckord brings a creative approach and extensive project construction experience to these challenging and important civic projects.

#### **PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT**

Planning and design of public spaces requires an open and collaborative exchange with the local community and involved agencies. MacLeod Reckord recognizes the importance of bringing key stakeholders into the decision-making process at critical times as well as providing project information to a wider community audience in a meaningful way. We have experience guiding the public through the process of visioning, goal setting, understanding the site, exploring alternatives, and resolving a final preferred plan, and are prepared to support clients through these steps and into final design and construction.

#### **COST ESTIMATING**

MacLeod Reckord has established a reputation with clients over the years for providing accurate project cost estimating. Working primarily in the public sector, MacLeod Reckord has an understanding of, and appreciation for, the critical issues that affect construction costs, and we strive to design and detail our projects with these issues top of mind. Quantity take-offs and pricing are prepared in-house for all MacLeod Reckord projects. A database is used to track costing trends and is continually updated to reflect recent bidding history, unit pricing, and changes to methods and materials within the construction industry.







#### **GRANT APPLICATION SUPPORT**

MacLeod Reckord can provide clients with a wide range of services supporting grant application. We can identify grant opportunities for trail and park improvements and provide technical reports and project descriptions that focus on specific criteria for a particular grant. We can provide both engineered and illustrative plans, sketches, and sections to more completely define a project and support formal presentation, and we are available to complete the necessary forms, cost estimates, and schedules that agencies request in various formats, whether AutoCAD, GIS, Excel, Microsoft Project, PRISM, or other. We have been successful in obtaining multiple grants from RCO for park and trail construction; Stormwater Quality Implementation grants from WSDOE for shoreline trail projects; and FAST Act federal grants for on- and off-road trail development.

#### **GRAPHICS/PRESENTATIONS**

MacLeod Reckord has developed, in the course of planning and design work in the public arena, the graphic skills to present proposed design solutions and alternatives to the public in a way that advances the public understanding and expedites the process. These skills include both the more traditional concept "rendering" and sketching techniques as well as the ability to use a range of software programs to create imagery that informs the public and energizes the process of design and discovery.

**PACE ENGINEERS, INC.** (PACE) is an employee-owned company providing professional civil and structural engineering, planning, surveying, geographic information system (GIS), and construction management services with offices in Kirkland and Wenatchee, Washington, and Lake Oswego, Oregon.

#### **CIVIL ENGINEERING**

PACE's site civil engineers specialize in consulting and design services for projects involving all civil aspects of park master planning, including sports fields, playgrounds, park facilities, trails, pedestrian bridges, parking lots, and ADA accessibility. PACE offers a variety of project capabilities, specializing in erosion and sediment control, Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plans (NPDES permitting), grading, drainage, water, sewer, and road improvement design. PACE uses low impact development design techniques and has LEED accredited and LID certified staff.

#### STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING

PACE's structural services include preliminary planning, final design, and construction phase services for park and recreational structures, buildings, building components, pedestrian bridges, and other site structures. PACE offers feasibility studies, seismic evaluations, and seismic upgrade designs for existing building and non-building structures.

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL**

PACE offers permitting and environmental compliance services in coordination with support from other team members and as required by the City. PACE is familiar with all facets of current federal, state, and local permitting requirements. They routinely design and implement projects to comply with the myriad of environmental regulations facing land improvement projects under today's agency regulations. PACE is available to provide support with SEPA and with identification of the permitting and regulatory requirements associated with park and frontage improvements.







### KEY PERSONNEL



Education
Bachelor of Science
Landscape Architecture
University of Virginia

#### Registration

Landscape Architect Washington, Oregon CLARB Certified LEED Accredited

#### **Professional Affiliations**

American Society of Landscape Architects CLARB US Green Building Council Greenroads

#### Connie Reckord, PLA, LEED AP Principal, Landscape Architect MacLeod Reckord PLLC

Connie has 40 years of experience in landscape architecture with an emphasis on civic planning, park planning and design, and local and regional trail design. She has managed a range of project types from planning through design and construction administration, giving her a pragmatic understanding of how early planning decisions affect the success of later project implementation. Connie assists clients and communities in finding effective solutions to challenging problems through an understanding and balance of agency mandates, physical constraints and opportunities, and user demands.

#### **Project Role: Project Manager**

Connie's responsibilities will include contracting, client correspondence, staffing and schedule management, involvement in project programming and alternatives development, supporting public outreach effort, and QA/QC.

#### **Project Specific Capabilities**

- Accomplished project manager and designer of parks, Complete Streets, and non-motorized facilities for multiple public agencies
- Collaborative leadership style ensures all project/community issues considered
- Engaging public outreach facilitator with a range of strategies to achieve consensus
- Flexibility in project approach and deliverables to meet challenging deadlines and budgets

#### **Representative Projects**

#### Morrill Meadows / East Hill Park and YMCA City of Kent / YMCA of Greater Seattle

Project Manager for the City of Kent's first YMCA activity center at the Morrill Meadows/East Hill Park site overseeing master planning, design documentation, and construction. The master plan included the new building, parking, and outdoor sport courts, an expanded play area, including separate age-appropriate areas, integration of selected play elements into the wooded surroundings, drainage improvements, and parking lot expansion. A range of low-impact development measures are incorporated into the plan to address the site's significant location in the watershed.

#### **Covington Community Park** City of Covington

Principal in Charge for master planning and Project Manager for site design of this 30-acre community park in Covington. The master plan incorporates an expansive program of state of the art active recreation facilities, passive amenities, regional trail connections, and wetland protection and mitigation, all with multiple layers of protective easements and environmental constraints. Recreational improvements include lighted, multi-purpose fields for baseball, softball and soccer, community buildings to support those facilities, an educational shelter, performing arts stage, picnic facilities, trails for both pedestrians and bicyclists, and a destination multi-aged children's play area.

#### Riverfront Development City of Everett

As Project Manager for the master plan of the public amenities portion of a planned mixed-use commercial and residential development on a 200-acre brownfield site along the Snohomish River, Connie guided the master planning and implementation of multiple phases of this project. Program included extension of the regional Snohomish Riverfront Trail, street improvements to link with a new transit facility, expansive wetland creation and enhancement, and creation of a signature park that serves as a destination and focal point for the new community.

#### **Ebey Waterfront Park Expansion** City of Marysville

Connie was Project manager for this updated and expanded Master Plan. The project includes development of a major new urban waterfront park on Ebey Slough with a watercraft center and hand carry boat launch facilities, large venue performing stage, flexible open green space, connection to the regional Qwuloolt Trail, and street frontage plaza designed for multiple uses including fairs, festivals, and other community gatherings. The park is the first newly redesigned facility as part of a comprehensive City plan to redevelop and revitalize the historic downtown core of Marysville. The park design creates opportunity for urban connections to the park and waterfront from the downtown.

#### **Centennial Trail and Trailheads** Snohomish

Over a 20-year period Connie was Project Manager and trail designer for 20 miles of this award-winning regional trail in Snohomish County. As part of the design and construction, MacLeod Reckord provided concept design for 8 trailheads ranging in size from ½ acre to over 100-acres, and final design for initial phases of each. Trailheads included parking for standard vehicles and horse trailers, restroom facilities, campground areas dedicated for non-motorized and equestrian visitors, ADA-only access and parking for remote access to lakefront, viewpoints and boardwalks, equestrian hitching and gathering areas, interpretive signage, historic orchard restoration, and extensive wetland and critical area enhancement and mitigation.



#### **Education**

Master of Landscape Architecture, Certificate of Urban Design University of Washington

Bachelor of Arts, Art History and Visual Arts Princeton University

#### Registration

Landscape Architect Washington

#### **Professional Affiliations**

Association of Pedestrian and Bicycle Professionals League of American Bicyclists Cycling Instructor

## David Saxen, PLA Associate, Landscape Architect MacLeod Reckord PLLC

David is a landscape architect and urban designer with over 20 years of experience working on trail and streetscape projects in Washington, California and Idaho. He has worked with a broad range of stakeholders in developing trail, urban design, and non-motorized facility plans, and has authored many master plans and feasibility studies. David takes a pragmatic approach to design of the public realm, striving to balance the competing needs of residents, visitors, business and property owners, transit agencies and utility companies. He employs a relaxed and unbiased approach to community involvement that honors the perspective of each stakeholder.

#### **Project Role: Urban Designer and Non-Motorized Planner**

David's responsibilities will include urban and non-motorized trail planning and design, streetscape planning and design, public engagement questionnaire development and implementation, base mapping, and writing the master plan report.

#### **Project Specific Capabilities**

- · Expertise in non-motorized trail planning and design
- · Accomplished technical writer and editor for design reports and master plans
- Accomplished planner and knowledgeable in GIS mapping, data evaluation, and public survey software
- · Expertise in developing public opinion survey questions and summarizing data

#### **Representative Projects**

#### Second Street Corridor Plan, City of Snohomish

Project Manager and Lead Designer for a transformative plan to redesign and revitalize the Second Street Corridor, a 15-block long primary corridor through historic Downtown Snohomish. The planning and design effort explores a range of Complete Street concepts to shift the balance among autos, bicycles and pedestrians, enhance cross-corridor connections between historic business and residential districts and suggest a range of urban design. Project development included full PS&E documentation through 90% at which point the City began to implement utility upgrades throughout the corridor that are necessary prior to the final streetscape improvements.

#### Kingston Complete Streets Plan, Kitsap County

Project Planner and report author for the initial phase of this study which provided assessment and conceptual project design for Complete Streets in the Kingston community. The plan makes recommendations for policy, site specific improvements, destination connectivity, and design standards. The initial phase of work was completed while with another firm but provided preliminary information for MacLeod Reckord's final Plan and Report.

#### Discovery Road Bikeway and Sidewalk Project, City of Port Townsend / SCJ Alliance

Project Manager supporting the larger team for the Discovery Road improvement project in Port Townsend. Tasks include assisting with community involvement and providing conceptual plans, final design and construction documents for new sidewalks, bicycle facilities, pocket parks and green stormwater facilities. The project included an alternatives analysis of potential bicycle facilities that will support cyclists of all ages and abilities. The alternatives analysis reinforced community consensus and helped the city secure a \$2.6 million grant from the State Transportation Improvement Board. The project will complete a critical gap in the city's bicycle network and provide safe and comfortable access to a new elementary school for families biking and walking.

#### Bayview Trail Connector, Cities of Marysville and Lake Stevens

Project Manager for the Marysville to Lake Stevens Connector Trail and providing site inventory and analysis, alternatives evaluation, conceptual design of preferred plan, trail design, bike lane and sidewalk design, confirmation of ADA compliance, public outreach lead, and final documentation.

#### Eastrail Trail Crossings, City of Woodinville / KPFF Consulting Engineers

Project Planner supporting the team lead and responsible for assessing alternative crossing and mixing zone designs for this intersection of three major regional trails at WSDOT SR 202. David also developed conceptual design for trail and wayfinding signage that will reflect the importance of accommodating growing tourism in this winery and brewery district.

Mercer Island Parks, Recreation and Open Space Plan, City of Mercer Island / Conservation Technix

Project Planner for assessment of Mercer Island's developed and undeveloped parks, open spaces, and trail system throughout the city. The scope included evaluating existing conditions, identifying potential improvements, and developing planning level cost opinions. The evaluation provided information and data supporting park level of service assessments, informed a needs assessment and a prioritization strategy for development, and provided information for the Capital Improvement Program.



#### Education Master of Landscape Architecture University of Washington

Career Discovery Program in Landscape Architecture Harvard University

Bachelor of Arts Sustainable Agriculture University of Massachusetts

#### Registration

Landscape Architect Washington

#### **Professional Affiliations**

American Society of Landscape Architects LEED Accredited Professional

#### Arielle Farina-Williams, PLA, LEED AP Associate, Landscape Architect MacLeod Reckord PLLC

With 15 years of experience in park and trail planning, design, and construction, Arielle has focused on civic facility design with site-specific, community centered, urban design solutions on projects varying from neighborhood to community scale. She is able to effectively develop a range of compelling and creative design alternatives based on variable program components. Her adaptable design process balances site constraints, ADA access, stakeholder needs, design intent and thoughtful detailing. She efficiently collaborates with team members on integrated design of structures in the landscape and contributes a valuable perspective on ways to achieve more sustainable and context-sensitive solutions. She has been lead designer for numerous successful park, plaza, trail, streetscape improvement, educational campus, and restoration projects.

#### **Project Role: Project Landscape Architect**

Arielle's responsibilities will include leading the park planning and design effort, integration of the trail and frontage improvement interface with the park, park program development, graphics production and management, cost estimating and SEPA checklist completion.

#### **Project Specific Capabilities**

- Park, civic, and non-motorized facility project management and lead design expertise from early planning through final design and construction
- · Adept in managing project budget, team resources, and project schedule
- Provides comprehensive research, analysis, and evaluation for a wide range of project types
- Extensive experience developing thorough final reports that guide future decision making and construction documentation

#### **Representative Projects**

#### Veterans Park Master Plan, City of Mountlake Terrace

Project Manager and Lead Designer responsible for alternatives analysis, supporting public outreach with graphics and on-line narrative, technical memoranda, and final report. As part of the Recreation, Parks and Open Space Plan update, MacLeod Reckord developed a master plan for the City's Veterans Park that will guide future decision-making and development in the park. This treasured park features old-growth natural areas, a children's play area, gazebo, veterans' memorial, picnic areas, and an extensive trail system. Planned improvements include an asphalt ADA-accessible trail with lighting, connecting the Town Center with the future Link light rail station.

#### Ober Park, Vashon Park District

Project Manager and Lead Designer for a new multi-generational 'Playground for All' at an existing downtown park on Vashon Island. The design provides new play and fitness equipment suited for all age and demographic groups, in particular, youth and seniors which are underrepresented within the community. The project design focused on assessing existing equipment for accessibility and retention, integrating new equipment with pieces to be retained, providing ADA access to all equipment and path systems and minimizing impact to critical areas.

#### Ebey Waterfront Park Expansion, City of Marysville

Project Landscape Architect responsible for developing alternatives and Project Manager for preliminary and final design, documentation, construction administration, and cost estimating for the first phase. The project includes development of a major new urban waterfront park on Ebey Slough with a connection to the regional Qwuloolt Trail, flexible open green space, a street frontage plaza designed for multiple uses including fairs and festivals, a children's play area, storm water treatment facility, watercraft center with hand carry boat launch facilities, large venue performing stage, and ADA access throughout. The park design creates a central gathering space downtown, celebrates the connection to the waterfront, and is the first newly redesigned facility as part of a comprehensive City plan to redevelop and revitalize the historic downtown core.

#### Mountlake Terrace Civic Campus, City of Mountlake Terrace

Project Manager and Project Designer providing conceptual design alternatives through preliminary and final design and construction support. The project included a new public gathering space, pedestrian-oriented frontage improvements, new city hall, police station expansion, and parking lot expansion. The civic campus is located adjacent to Mountlake Terrace's planned Town Center and contributes to the City's the goal of providing vibrant, walkable destinations.

#### Pipeline Trail, Pierce County Parks & Recreation

Project Designer for the early planning phases and Project Manager for the final design and construction phases of this 5-mile trail segment that extends from the existing Tacoma Water Pipeline Trail to Puyallup and large trailhead development at the 160-acre Orangegate Park. Arielle was responsible for developing alternatives, advancing the preferred concept, generating cost estimates and preparing final graphics for public outreach and grant application.



**Education**B.S., Civil Engineering
University of Washington

#### Registration

PE, Civil Engineer, Washington, #26901 LID Certification, Post Graduate Studies, Washington State University Extension

#### **Professional Affiliations**

American Society of Landscape Architects LEED Accredited Professional

#### Phil Cheesman, PE Vice President, Civil Engineer PACE Engineers, Inc.

Phil has over 36 years of experience in all aspects of land development, including commercial, municipal, street, park, and trail projects. Phil typically designs and manages a broad spectrum of projects, including those with parking, roadway, grading, drainage, and utility improvement requirements depending on specific site needs. Phil has successfully managed PACE's civil design team on a number of park and trail projects, including Covington Park, Angle Lake Park, Cape Disappointment State Park, Martha Lake Airport Community Park, Sand Hill Park, Lake Goodwin Park, Shoreline Interurban Trail, Centennial Trail, Cedar River Trail, North Creek and Nickel Creek Trails. Throughout his diverse project experience, Phil has effectively coordinated complex site issues and addressed regulatory agency concerns leading to expedient project permit approvals on-schedule and within budget.

#### Project Role: Lead Civil Engineer

Phil's responsibilities will include supporting the preliminary planning with input on stormwater facility design for parking and access road areas, frontage improvements, utility/infrastructure improvements, cost estimating, and SEPA support.

#### **Project Specific Capabilities**

- Senior Principal Engineer with time-tested strategies for on-time project delivery to ensure quality control
- Knowledgeable in stormwater facility design and LID park and trail strategies as applicable to design and construction
- Collaborates effectively with surveyors, landscape architects, and environmental scientists from concept level through construction of park, trail, and trailhead related work
- Extensive park and trail design, utility and roadway design, and construction engineering experience

#### **Representative Projects**

#### Covington Community Park, City of Covington / MacLeod Reckord PLLC

Principal Engineer for providing surveying and engineering design for deisgn of this 30-acre park. Improvements included a natural grass soccer field, two parking lots, spectator seating area, street frontage improvements, multiple storm drainage detention ponds, biofiltration swales, all utilities for structures, trails, and pedestrian bridges. PACE provided structural calculations and design review of the pedestrian bridges and prepared final civil engineering plans, specifications, and cost estimates, and provided bidding and construction support.

#### Angle Lake Park, City of SeaTac / MacLeod Reckord PLLC

Principal Engineer for providing civil design for approximately 8 acres along the shores of Angle Lake. Improvements included a new spray play facility, expanded restroom facilities, new shelters, beach area improvements, and reconfigured parking areas. PACE provided design plans for temporary erosion-sedimentation control (TESC), storm drainage, water, and sanitary sewer services. PACE also provided bidding and construction support and the Notice of Intent and Surface Water Pollution and Prevention Plan for the NPDES permit.

#### Hickman Park, City of Edmonds / MacLeod Reckord PLLC

Principal Engineer for providing survey, engineering design concepts, design development, and final civil engineering construction documents for developing 5.6 acres of this 11.2-acre park site. Work elements included demolition, TESC, storm drainage, and road frontage improvements. PACE also incorporated a City-designed regional storm drainage flow control system that was constructed as part of this project. Existing conditions presented challenges as an existing water line and gas line were found to be located directly under the proposed gutter line alignment of the 104th Avenue W frontage improvements, thus conflicting with new storm facilities. PACE provided a design for the stormwater conveyance line to be placed behind the sidewalk to avoid the existing utilities and keep storm structure lids out of the bicycle lane.

Reiter Foothills Offroad Parking Lot, Washington State Dept. of Natural Resources / Gold Bar, WA PACE prepared final plans, specifications and cost estimates for 3-acre paved recreational parking lot, perimeter ditches, storm water detention pond and pad for a prefabricated restroom building. This project area is located on a 191-acre parcel outside of the Urban Growth Area owned by the Department of Natural Resources, located northeast of Reiter Road and southwest of the Austin Creek/Reiter Road culvert crossing. Design consisted of site geometric layout, temporary erosion-sedimentation control, grading, and storm water management. Siting of improvements respected adjacent steep slope, wetland and stream critical area setbacks. As Senior Principal Engineer, Phil was responsible for overall project operations and quality assurance on design elements.

## ☐ PROJECT APPROACH

Our Project Approach is divided into three parts: Design Issues, Work Plan, and Timeline. In developing a successful project approach, first we identify the **Design Issues**, the key physical challenges posed by the site and program. With this information, a **Work Plan** (with Deliverables identified) and **Timeline** can be developed. The City has outlined a Preliminary Scope of Work in the RFQ which will guide the initial scoping process, but this overall Project Approach serves as a starting point to be refined after more in-depth discussion with the City.

## Design Issues

#### **CONTINUITY**

The publicly owned parcels ranging from North Park to Charter Park, including City Hall and the Public Safety Building, are a terrific asset and organizing feature for the City of Orting. These parcels form a linear "Civic Square" with a strong community identity and sense of place. However, the continuity of these blocks is disrupted by the wide cross streets, low granite walls, mature trees, and park structures. The channelization, parking configuration, surfacing materials, planting, and lighting along the adjacent streets could be redesigned to provide better continuity between the park blocks while maintaining smooth traffic flow and parking capacity.

The interface between the park blocks and Washington Avenue/SR 162 and Van Scoyoc Avenue could also be more integrated and inviting. Some strategies might include pedestrian improvements along Washington Avenue that provide visual and physical connections to park amenities and the adjacent retail; and traffic calming improvements such as curb extensions or planting that is acceptable to WSDOT throughout the corridor.

The gravel parking lots on the south edge of the Main Park blocks could be redesigned to be more efficient, less visually obtrusive on the park, and ADA compliant The park's planting could be modified to visually break up parking, expand the park, and better integrate it with the surrounding city.

MacLeod Reckord's Second Street project in the City of Snohomish improved the continuity of several disparate downtown blocks by modifying channelization, providing curb extensions, and adding planting and historically appropriate lighting.

#### **HIERARCHY OF SPACES**

Since the Main Parks comprise several different blocks in the downtown, defining spatial hierarchy throughout the parks and creating an identifiable center will be an important component of the master plan. The community and stakeholders can help identify such spaces in the design alternatives. For example, the Bell Tower is an important community landmark that the park design could embrace and celebrate. As was mentioned at the informational site visit, one alternative could include vacating Train Avenue at the Bell Tower and developing a multi-purpose plaza and splash pad or another central feature.

MacLeod Reckord has designed splash pads that are incorporated into the urban design of a park, such as at Millennium Plaza Park and Foothills Park in Lake Oswego, Oregon, or can be stand-alone destination features such as the expansive facility at Angle Lake Park in SeaTac.

#### **COHERENCE**

The Main Park blocks and Charter Park offer myriad well-used and loved features that have been added over time, but they lack coherence. For example, although the play structure, jungle gym, swings, and merry-go-rounds are in proximity to each other, they are separate playground elements each enclosed by concrete headers that preclude children with limited mobility from easily exploring all areas. Reorganizing these disparate playground elements into a







more coherent play area with an accessible surface will make the space more compelling and accessible to all.

Ober Park Playground for All was a project that re-imagined an existing play area to provide ADA-compliant play and fitness equipment within the footprint of an existing playground. New surfacing and ADA ramps, along with transfer platforms ensured the entire area was accessible to all.

A key objective of the master plan will be to work with the community to better define districts or activity zones within the park that each have a unique character and feeling within the overall framework of the park. There is a broad range of program elements identified in the Parks, Trails, and Open Space Plan including a splash pad, pickleball courts, an enhanced pump track, additional parking, a performance space, plaza at Orting Station. Our team is prepared to work with staff and the community to evaluate, rank, and configure which program elements are most appropriate for this site.

Our Morrill Meadows project successfully integrated several different program elements into the site of a new YMCA facility.

#### LEVERAGE THE FOOTHILLS TRAIL

The park serves as a trailhead for the Foothills Trail, an important recreational and economic asset for the city, but there may be opportunities to enhance and visually celebrate the trail through the park. The visibility and safety of the trail street crossings, especially at Calistoga Street and Bridge Street/SR 162, could be improved in a variety of ways. In conjunction with reconfiguration of the gravel parking lots, the trail could be realigned to create a wider and more useable green space or provide an adjacent but dedicated path for people on foot to reduce conflicts between people biking and walking on busy days. If enhanced and emphasized, the Foothills Trail can serve as the common thread between the park's different activity zones improving the coherence of the park blocks.


MacLeod Reckord has designed numerous regional trails, including trailheads, trail amenities and branding, and safe trail crossings, such as the Burke Gilman Trail, Centennial Trail, Pipeline Trail, and Eastrail.

#### **BALANCE OF TREES**

The park has an abundance of relatively mature trees that provides character and identity but also creates some challenges. In particular, the row of fir trees in Main Park and the row of cedar trees in North Park trees bifurcate the park into two linear spaces. This row of evergreen trees also blocks views into and across the park creating a visual barrier between the respective blocks in the downtown. While the combination of evergreen and deciduous trees provides needed shade in the summer, the solid row of evergreens shades the north side of the park for much of the year making it less inviting in winter months.

The master planning process should weigh the benefits and disadvantages of these trees and explore alternatives that might improve visibility, open views to Mount Rainier, improve microclimate of some park programs, and define space that might more readily reflect a "town green." Selective tree thinning is also an important strategy to improve the health of dense tree stands to create space for the healthiest trees to mature and expand.

Strategic forest management and selective tree thinning was an important part of MacLeod Reckord's plan for Veteran's Memorial Park in Mountlake Terrace. The trees within the park are a valued part of the landscape but their high density resulted in tall trees with excessive lower branch die off. Selective thinning was proposed to improve the health of the overall tree stand and allow the remaining trees to flourish while creating openings for gathering areas.







## Work Plan

The following Work Plan for the Orting Main Parks Master Plan responds to the design issues noted previously and defines tasks and deliverables outlined in the preliminary scope of work in the RFQ.





#### PROJECT INITIATION: Initiate the scoping and contracting process, establish the schedule, identify project milestones, confirm master plan goals, and confirm City staff, committees, and other agency review process and procedures. Review and synthesize previous planning efforts and recommendations.

Deliverables include Final Scope and Contract, Project Schedule, Summary of Relevant Master Plan Issues, and Goal Statement.

SITE ANALYSIS AND REPORTING: Develop a base map from existing surveys and maps, GIS data, aerial photos, and site visits. Complete a site analysis including current uses, views, access, environmental issues, utility assessment, and traffic and parking. Develop Opportunities and Constraints graphic from analysis of inventory.

> Deliverables include Opportunities and Constraints Map, Graphics and photographs as needed to convey information.





#### **PUBLIC OUTREACH PLAN:** Develop and implement a comprehensive public outreach plan and incorporate a methodology for receiving and presenting feedback.

Deliverables include Public Outreach Plan, Public Outreach Delivery and Summary Materials for the life of the project.

PROGRAM ALTERNATIVES AND EVALUATION: Identify an appropriate range of program elements for consideration based on the 2022 Parks, Trails, and Open Space Plan, current public and staff input, and current and relevant park design strategies.

> Deliverables include Program Alternatives, Summary of Land Use Analysis, and Recommended Program Statement.

**ALTERNATIVE CONCEPTUAL PLANS AND** ILLUSTRATIVES: Explore and express three design alternatives for the park based on varying combinations of selected program options. Components likely include existing structures, park amenities, circulation, access and parking, potential phasing, and other elements as appropriate to the program.

> Deliverables include Conceptual Design Alternatives, Planning Level Cost Estimates, Evaluation Criteria Matrix, Feasibility Evaluation Matrix, and Illustrative Exhibits for Public Review.

**DRAFT PREFERRED PLAN:** With input from the City and public, refine preferred alternative, provide draft for review by City, then final for public review and input. Identify potential grant/funding opportunities, timelines for grant application, and/or strategies for funding partnerships.

> Deliverables include Draft Preferred Plan Graphics and Draft Report, Cost Estimate, and Phasing Plan.

FINAL MASTER PLAN: Finalize preferred alternative plan and all graphics, develop draft and final master plan report and define phased development. Support the City with presentation to public and/or Council. Finalize master plan for adoption.

> Deliverables include draft and final Master Plan Graphics and Report, Cost Estimate, and Phasing Plan.

PERMITTING AND SEPA CHECKLIST: Identify permitting and regulatory requirements and deadlines. Develop the draft and final Programmatic SEPA Checklist for the Final Master Plan.


Deliverables include draft and final SEPA Checklist.







## ☐ TIMELINE



## ☐ PROPOSED BUDGET

	Personnel	Connie Reckord, Principal	David Saxen, Associate	Arielle Farina-Williams, Associate	James Day, Landscape Designer	Charlene Bujacich, Administration		
	Role	Project Manager	Planner	Landscape Architect	Landscape Designer	Document Support		
	Rate	\$210	\$160	\$160	\$125	\$125	Total Hours	Total Task Fee
PHASE 1	Site Investigation and Analysis	5	24	8	12	3	52	\$8,000
PHASE 2	Park Program	9	34	16	0	1	60	\$10,000
PHASE 3	Master Plan Development	8	64	38	38	10	158	\$24,000
Civil	& Environmental							\$3,000
Tota	al							\$45,000

## ☐ REFERENCES

Brian Levenhagen, Deputy Parks Director City of Kent

(253) 856-5100, BJLevenhagen@kentwa.gov

- Morrill Meadows Park / YMCA
- · Lower Russell Road Levee
- Milwaukee II

#### Jeff Laycock, City Engineer

City of Marysville Engineering Department (360) 363-8274, jlaycock@marysvillewa.gov

Ebey Waterfront Park Expansion

#### Mark Epstein, Engineering Project Manager City of Bainbridge Island

(206) 780-3721, mepstein@bainbridgewa.gov

· Sound to Olympics Trail

#### Brianne Blackburn, Trails Coordinator

Pierce County (253) 798-4261,

brianne.blackburn@piercecountywa.gov

Pipeline Trail

#### **Ethan Newton, Director**

City of Covington Parks and Recreation (253) 480-2481, enewton@covingtonwa.gov,

Covington Community Park

#### Tom Hood, Senior Engineer

City of Everett Engineering & Public Services (425) 257-8809, thood@everettwa.gov

· Riverfront Development

















## Covington Community Park

Covington, Washington

#### REFERENCE

Ethan Newton, Parks & Recreation Director, Covington Parks & Recreation 253-480-2481, enewton @covingtonwa.gov

CONSTRUCTION COST \$10.7M - Master Plan \$1.4M - Phase 1; \$7.22M - Phase 2 This 30-acre community park in Covington exemplifies the full range of challenges and the inherent complexity of park planning in today's growing communities. MacLeod Reckord developed a master plan that incorporates an expansive program of state of the art active recreation facilities, passive amenities, regional trail connections, and wetland protection and mitigation, all with multiple layers of protective easements and environmental constraints. Recreational improvements include lighted, multipurpose fields for baseball, softball and soccer, community buildings to support those facilities, an educational shelter, performing arts stage, picnic facilities, trails for both pedestrians and bicyclists, and a children's play area. Fully half the site, with sensitive areas and mature woodland, is constrained from development with a conservation easement held by Forterra. The master plan successfully provides a transition between active, structured play areas and protected lands with a hierarchy of trails, transitional planting, and appropriate siting of buildings and amenities. The master plan identifies a phased development strategy, and the second phase is complete.

MacLeod Reckord was prime design consultant and PACE Engineers provided survey and civil engineering. Connie Reckord was Principal in Charge for the master plan and Project Manager for design phases; Arielle Farina Williams was Project Designer during final design and supported the Construction Administration effort. Phil Cheesman was Engineer of Record providing all civil engineering for the park and road frontages, and PACE survey provided survey of the park site.









### Morrill Meadows Park / YMCA

Kent, Washington

#### REFERENCE

Brian Levenhagan, Deputy Parks Director, 253-856-5116bjlevenhagen@ kentwa.gov


**CONSTRUCTION COST** \$7.3M - Master Plan \$33M - incl bldg \$6.6M - Site Work

The City of Kent worked with the YMCA of Greater Seattle to include the community's first activity center at the Morrill Meadows / East Hill Park site. MacLeod Reckord provided the master plan, design documents, and construction administration services. The master plan included programming, evaluation of a range of alternatives, and a final comprehensive plan for fully integrating the two park sites. The final development plan included the new YMCA building, planned in collaboration with the architect and contractor; a multi-generational game and event plaza with an outdoor fireplace, multi-use sport courts, an off-leash dog park, and open space for festival and market venues. A range of low-impact development measures are incorporated into the plan to address the site's significant location in the watershed and to manage increased stormwater from parking and building development. The greatest challenge, and at the center of the plan's success, is the integration of public with private (membership only) uses of the exterior courtyards, mixed-use plazas, Great Lawn, and sport court facilities. The master planning process included extensive public outreach to ensure the multi-generational and diverse local residential community, as well as the entire Kent community, was involved throughout the process. The project successfully received LEED Silver certification and was opened in Summer 2019 to enthusiastic community support.

MacLeod Reckord was prime design consultant and PACE engineers provided survey and civil engineering. Connie Reckord was Project Manager and Phil Cheesman was Engineer of Record for the project.







### Ebey Waterfront Park Expansion

Marysville, Washington

#### REFERENCE

Jeff Laycock, City Engineer, City of Marysville, 360-363-8274, jlaycock@maryvillewa.gov

CONSTRUCTION COST \$22.5M - Master Plan \$455,000 - Ph 1, Landscape component only MacLeod Reckord provided conceptual design alternatives, preliminary design, and permit documentation for an expansion of Ebey Waterfront Park. The project includes development of a major new urban waterfront park on Ebey Slough with a watercraft center and hand carry boat launch facilities, large venue performing stage, flexible open green space, connection to the regional Qwuloolt Trail, and street frontage plaza designed for multiple uses including fairs, festivals, and other community gatherings. The park is the first newly redesigned facility as part of a comprehensive City plan to redevelop and revitalize the historic downtown core of Marysville. The park design creates opportunity for urban connections to the park and waterfront from the downtown. Additional program elements include an expansive regional stormwater facility, increased and reconfigured parking, an expanded waterfront dock and float system, children's play area and waterfront viewing areas.

MacLeod Reckord was prime consultant for the master plan phase as well as a follow up concept alternatives evaluation for an adjacent property that was later added to the project. Connie Reckord was Project Manager and Arielle Farina-Williams was Project Landscape Architect for the master plan. In development of phase 1, Connie was Principal in Charge and Arielle was Project Manager for the final design and construction administration.







## Riverfront Development

Everett, Washington

#### REFERENCE

Tom Hood, Principal Engineer. Everett Engineering & Public Services, 425-257-8809 thood@everettwa.gov

**CONSTRUCTION COST** \$35M - Master Plan: \$4M - Phases 1 - 3

The City of Everett, in a joint development agreement with a private developer, selected MacLeod Reckord to develop the master plan and phased development plans for the public amenities portion of a planned mixed-use commercial and residential development on a 200-acre brownfield site along the Snohomish River. Project elements included an extension of the regional Snohomish Riverfront Trail, local trail connections, boardwalks and viewpoints, picnic areas, existing park renovation, major wetland enhancement and riverbank stabilization work and street improvements to provide connection with the transit facility. The project included creation of a new signature park that serves as a destination and focal point for the new community with restroom, play area, open space, and a river access dock and float. All improvements integrate with adjacent commercial and residential development.

MacLeod Reckord was prime design consultant; Connie Reckord was Project Manager for the master plan and all phases of development. Arielle Farina-Williams was Project Designer for the play area associated with the signature park.



## Bayview Trail Connector

Marysville and Lake Stevens, Washington

#### REFERENCE

Steven Miller, Senior Project Manager, City of Marysville Public Works, 360-363-8285 smiller@marysvillewa.gov

MacLeod Reckord is working with the City of Marysville, in collaboration with the City of Lake Stevens, to develop a 4.7-mile trail extension of the Bayview Trail within Marysville, extending south through Lake Stevens to connect to the Lake Stevens Westside / Powerline Trail. This important segment will complete broader connections to the regional Centennial Trail as well as numerous on-street connections in the Lake Stevens Center and beyond. The project traverses challenging terrain and critical areas with expansive wetlands and fish-bearing streams through multiple powerline corridors with on-street connections. Other challenges include the multifaceted ownership and operation of utility franchises along the corridor. The project will include segments of separated shared use path, on road bike lanes and sidewalks, a range of crossing treatments of major arterials and minor collectors, and selected trailhead improvements as space and budget allow, all designed to accommodate access for the utility providers.

MacLeod Reckord is prime design consultant. Connie Reckord is Principal in Charge and David Saxen is Project Manager.

#### **WEBSITE**

https://www.marysvillewa.gov/1220/Bayview-Trail-Connector

Community questionnaire site created by MacLeod Reckord http://mpt.link/BayviewTrailConnector

## REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS (RFQ)

City of Orting – Main Parks Master Plan

#### INVITATION

The City of Orting is soliciting proposals from qualified firms experienced in park design to provide planning services to complete a master plan for the Orting Main Park. The preliminary scope of work consists of site investigation and analysis, conducting community engagement, preliminary park program development, master plan development, cost estimating, project phasing and funding plan. The master plan development requires collective experience in planning and landscape architecture.

Sealed submittals, plainly marked "City of Orting - Orting Main Parks Master Plan" on the outside of the mailing envelope, addressed to the City of Orting PO Box 489, Orting, WA 98360, will be accepted until 3:00 pm on Friday, May 27, 2022. Qualification submittals may also be hand-delivered in person to City Hall at 104 Bridge St. S, Orting, WA 98360 by the required date and time. Submittals delivered after the posted deadline will not be considered for selection. Faxed, telephone or emailed submittals will not be accepted.

In an effort to promote waste reduction and resource conservation, please submit three (3) hard copies of your team's qualification package as well as a pdf copy on a USB drive. Submittals shall not contain plastic bindings, plastic or laminated pages. Double sided documents are preferred. Please avoid superfluous use of paper (such as separate title sheets or chapter dividers). Submittals shall also be limited to 10 double-sided sheets (8.5x11 page size only).

A non-mandatory, informational site visit will be conducted on Thursday, May 19, 2022 at 10:00 am starting at the Bridge St. Entrance to City Hall located at 104 Bridge St. S, in the City of Orting. Attendance is recommended, and any questions will be answered at that time. The purpose of this meeting is to provide interested firms with any background information which may be beneficial in preparing a submittal.

Questions about this RFQ must be emailed no later than May 25, 2022 at 2:00pm to: City Clerk Kim Agfalvi or Events and Activities Coordinator Michell Alfiere

<u>kagfalvi@cityoforting.org</u> malfiere@cityoforting.org

## PROJECT BACKGROUND

Orting's Main Parks consists of 4 non-contiguous sections of land totaling approximately 18.59-acre with the Foothills Trail going through all sections. The parks generally parallel Washington Avenue/HWY 162 to the east and are situated in the city center.

Name	Amenities	Pierce Co. Parcel No.	
North Park	North Park Station, Fountain	0519293133	
Main Park	Big Toy, Swings, BBQ Pits, Gazebo, Basketball Court	0519293126	
Bell Tower	Bell Tower	0519293126	
Skate Park/Charter Park	Skate Park, Dirt Pump Track	0519322123 and 0519321017	
Foothills Trail	Mixed Use Pedestrian Trail	0519293132 and 0519326012	

## PRELIMINARY SCOPE OF WORK

This Park Master Plan is intended to serve as the Land Use Development Plan for Orting Main Park and should be complementary to the City's 2021 Parks Trail and Open Space Plan. The consultant will be required to provide all services and work to complete the required documents and all other work described herein. The general objectives of the plan include, but will not be limited to, the following:

- Identify and express the recreation and park uses to meet the leisure needs of the community.
- Identify locations for specific recreation facilities, i.e. play equipment, benches, Parks Plan Capital Items, etc.
- Develop construction estimates as related to the conceptual Park Master Plan Update document
- Identify possible funding opportunities for the future construction of the new amenities.

The following is a preliminary scope of work that will be refined during contract negotiations with the selected consultant. Public involvement will be an important component of this project. A six-month effort is envisioned. It is anticipated that the master plan process will consist of three phases as described below:

## **Phase 1 Site Investigation and Analysis**

Develop a base map drawing based on discussions with City staff, existing surveys and maps, GIS data, aerial photos, and site visits. The base map shall identify and locate natural and manmade features including vegetation, utilities, structures, boundaries, and other features as necessary. Deliverables shall include a graphic summarizing site opportunities and constraints at an appropriate scale and a letter report that summarizes the site analysis.

## Phase 2 Park Program

Facilitate and conduct a community engagement process to ascertain public sentiment regarding needs, desires, opportunities and constraints. Incorporate existing information from 2021 Parks Trails and Open Space Plan. Based upon the results of site analysis, City staff input, technical input and initial public input, develop a preliminary park design program detailing proposed uses, design character and criteria.

## **Phase 3 Master Plan Development**

Prepare and present three Master Plan alternatives based upon the approved design program with rough order of magnitude cost estimates for each. Prepare a narrative that summarizes the existing conditions, design alternatives, cost implications and regulatory criteria, and identifies issues which require further study at the next stage of project development. Prepare and present preferred Master Plan alternative and provide updated cost estimate. Final deliverable will be a Master Plan Report, with final project drawings and narrative, project process, project phasing scenarios, phase costs, and potential project funding plan.

The master plan process shall include the following:

- **Public Engagement**: This project shall engage public involvement, with participation from the public at large, Orting City staff, Parks Board, and Orting City Council. Public involvement may include a web-based survey and 2-3 opportunities for public input throughout the summer.
- **Department and City Reviews:** Prepare written and graphic materials to assist with presenting the project for up to two reviews by the City Department staff. Consultant shall present project updates at up to two Parks Board meetings and two City Council workshops and /or meetings.
- **Permitting and Environmental Review**: Consultant to identify permitting/regulatory requirements and deadlines as they relate to the Master Plan design. Consultant will take the lead to complete the SEPA checklist for the Master Plan design, with staff input.

## **SCHEDULE**

## **Proposed Timeline**

- Non-mandatory site visit: May 19, 2022
- Proposal packages due: May 27,2022
- Notify short listed firms: June 3, 2022
- Interview short listed firms\*: June 10, 2022
- Contract award by City Council: June 29, 2022
- Anticipated project start: July 8, 2022

<sup>\*</sup>The City of Orting reserves the right to select a consultant from submitted proposals alone.

#### BUDGET

\$45,000 is allocated for the Master Plan of Orting Main Park in the 2022 Budget and is inclusive of all planning and design costs.

## SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS

Proposals are sought from firms with expertise in planning and landscape architectural services. Information provided will play a significant role in the City's selection of the consultant team considered best qualified to execute the project. Upon selection, the City and successful consultant will work together to refine the scope of work.

Please provide the following in your Proposal Package:

- Cover Letter: Please submit a one-page letter of intent listing the proposed team (prime and sub consultants) and commitment to providing the services described in the scope of work.
- **Statement of Experience:** Identify the proposed project manager and key personnel of the project team; include the relevant experience, qualifications and project roles for each member. For each member, describe their experience in park master plan development and any other relevant experience.
- **Project Approach:** Describe your understanding of the project scope and a timeline that identifies major proposed tasks and products.
- **Proposed Budget:** Provide a proposed timeline, hours to be spent, and a proposed budget that is consistent with the Scope of Work. The budget should include hourly rates for each team member. Proposers may assume that the City will be responsible for meeting room arrangements and costs.
- **References:** Three (minimum) client references for similar planning projects for Municipal Parks and Recreation Departments (within the last 5 years) led by the proposed Project Manager. Please include the full name of the municipality, project manager, phone number and e-mail.
- Relevant Sample Work: Please provide the following information for no more than (5) five relevant projects with similar scope and size that have been completed or in progress by members of the consultant design team. At least (3) three of the projects listed must be for public agencies.
  - 1. Name of project
  - 2. Project website, if applicable
  - 3. Brief project description highlighting special attributes/features of the project
  - 4. Project design team
  - 5. Reference
  - 6. Construction cost, if applicable

All costs for developing submittals in response to this RFQ are the obligation of the Consultant and are not chargeable to the City. All submittals will become property of the City and will not

be returned. Submittals may be withdrawn at any time prior to published close date, provided notification is received in writing to the City Clerk listed on this RFQ. Submittals cannot be withdrawn after the published close date.

## CONSULTANT SELECTION AND AWARD

The City intends to enter into an agreement with the Consultant who provides a proposal that, in the opinion of the City, best meets all the below listed evaluation criteria (receives the highest score) as determined by the City's selection committee. If the City decides to, chosen firms will be scheduled for an interview on June 10, 2022. Upon selection of a Consultant, the City intends to enter into an agreement using its standard Agreement for Services, which shall be used to secure these services. See Attachment B for a sample contract.

Evaluation Criteria	Weight
Demonstrates a thorough understanding of project purpose, objectives, scope and timeline. Demonstrates design excellence and understanding of public parks and open space.	25
Qualifications of key personnel and project team	25
Demonstrated experience conducting successful community engagement and gathering input	20
Experience with projects of similar scale and scope	20
Overall quality content and responsiveness to RFQ requirements	10
Total	100

The City of Orting reserves the right to reject any or all proposals, and to waive any irregularities or information in the evaluation process. The final decision is the sole discretion of the City of Orting, and the respondents to this formal request have no appeal rights or procedures guaranteed to them.

#### **ATTACHMENTS**

- A. Orting Main Park Vicinity Map
- B. Agreement for Services

## Attachment A – Vicinity Map

## North Park



## Main Park



## Skate Park/Charter Park



# City of Orting Council Agenda Summary Sheet

Agenda Bill #	Recommending Committee	Study Session Dates	Regular Meeting Dates
AB22-61	CGA		
	7.6.2022		
Department:	Clerk		
Date	6.30.2022		
Submitted:			
	<u>\$N/A</u>		
ount Budgeted: \$N/A			
nce:	: <u>\$ N/A</u>		
	N/A		
	Approval as soon as possible		
	Kim Agfalvi		
	·	·	
	AB22-61  Department: Date Submitted:	Committee     AB22-61   CGA       7.6.2022     Department:   Clerk     Date   6.30.2022     Submitted:   \$N/A     : \$N/A     : \$N/A     N/A     Approval as soon a	Committee         Dates           AB22-61         CGA           7.6.2022           Department:         Clerk           Date         6.30.2022           Submitted:         \$N/A           :         \$N/A           nce:         \$N/A           Approval as soon as possible

# Attachments: Application SUMMARY STATEMENT:

The City received an application for sponsorship for Summerfest. Policy requires that the City Council review and approve all applications for sponsorship, and determine whether an event qualifies for sponsorship (after review and approval of the application by the Community & Government Affairs Committee). To qualify for sponsorship, an approved event must:

- 1. Allow all citizens to reasonably participate;
- 2. Serve a valid municipal purpose, such as strengthening the City's sense of community or celebrating a City's history and;
- 3. May provide, through increased customers, additional revenues for Orting businesses and subsequent improved tax revenues for the City.

The event organizer is asking for tier 2 sponsorship with the use of the City Gazebo, basketball court and City park areas grass (south). They are requesting use of the existing City bathroom facilities and that two portable restrooms are placed in the park. The event organizer is asking that Train Street between Washington Ave and Van Scoyoc be closed so children can cross from the north side of the park to the south side of the park and not have to worry about traffic and is requesting use of barricades.

## **RECOMMENDED ACTION: Action:**

Move forward to study session on July 20<sup>th</sup>, 2022.



City of Orting

104 Bridge St S • PO Box 489 • Orting, WA 98360

Phone: 360-893-9017 or 253-262-7842

Fax: 360.893.6809

Email: recreation@cityoforting.org

Web: www.cityoforting.org

## **SPECIAL EVENT APPLICATION**

**Definition:** A Special Event is an activity which occurs upon public or private property that will affect the standard and ordinary use of public streets, rights-of-way, sidewalks, parks/facilities and/or which requires extraordinary levels of City Services. This includes, but is not limited to; fairs, festivals, carnivals, circus, sporting events, foot runs/walks that utilize City parks and facilities, cycling events, block parties, markets, parades, exhibitions, auctions, dances, riding galleries, theatrical, musical entertainments, motion picture filming, etc.

Application: This completed application, a detailed event map showing where the event will take place, a certificate of insurance naming the City of Orting as an additional insurer for this event in the amount of \$1,000,000.00, and any other pertinent information, along with the Special Event fee (\$200) must be submitted to the City of Orting at least ninety (90) days prior to event. Upon receipt, a meeting with City Department Heads will be scheduled. It is required that the applicant meet with Department Heads in a scheduled meeting to go over the Special Event Application to assure guidelines and preparation prior to the event. After this meeting, you will be notified if your event has been approved. Failure to meet any deadlines required by the City of Orting may result in cancelation of the event. Applicant initials:

NAME OF APPLICANT: Franks
NAME OF ORGANIZATION: OFFING Summerfest / Abandon+ Life
ARE YOU NON-PROFIT: X Yes [] No IF YES, UBI#: 602 434 892
MAILING ADDRESS: PO BOX 826 OFFING WA 98360
EMAIL ADDRESS: OFthy summerfest @ Omail.com
NAME OF EVENT: Orthog Sunner Fest Black Party
TYPE OF EVENT (parade, festival, etc.): Comonty Cottains Chron Savia 10-11
DATE(S) OF EVENT: Sunday, August 28th 2022 Burry Huses
TIME(S) OF EVENT: Set-Up Start of Event 100m
End of Event 2000 Exit Time 3000

	Karat-	Coll -
PRIMARY CONTACT NAME:	I won't tranks	PHONE: 33-725-6148
PRIMARY CONTACT EMAIL:	ranco alcorting.	can
DAY OF CONTACT NAME:	rine Franks	PHONE: Samo
DAY OF CONTACT EMAIL:	Some	
ALTERNATE CONTACT: BCC	ad Grasley	PHONE: 253-200-440
FOR ALL SPECIAL EVE	ENTS:	
TYPE OF EVENT:  [ ] Festival/Carnival/Fair  [ ] Parade  [ ] Run/Race (If you do not require the will need to submit a separate trail use a generate trail us	application.)	do not need to complete this application. You
FACILITIES & PARKS USAGE F	REQUESTED (See Appendix	A for rental rates):
[ ] BBQ Area  ☑ Basketball Court [ ] Multi-Purpose Center (MPC) [ ] Fountain Pavilion	Gazebo City Park grass areas (sou County of the county of	uth)
If the Event is providing for the	following, what arrangemen	nts will be in place?
Will you have additional garbage No Odd Hond no	service and where will they be	placed (show on Map)?
Will you have adequate restroom	facilities and where will they b	pe placed (show on Map)?  Partable restrains
Will there be any open flame, coo	king facilities or gas cylinders	and the state of t

**ADDITIONAL SERVICES:** Will you need additional services for a Non-Sponsored event, or from the City that are not covered under Tier 1 or Tier 2 for a Sponsored Event? [] Yes [] No

City Services (please mark all that apply)  [ ] 1 Public Work staff [ ] 1 Police Officer [ ] 1 Dumpster [ ] 2 Standard Portable Restrooms (Delivery/Pick Up/Cleaning) [ ] Electricity (2 Spider Boxes) [ ] Audio/PA system (Does not include a DJ) [ ] Barricades (Must provide placement on map)	Price_ \$75/hr x hrs \$85/hr x hrs \$20/event \$150/event \$50/event \$75/event	Total Price  \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$
[ ] Street Sweeper (man power/vehicle)	\$150/hr x hrs	\$
[ ] Portable Trailer Sign	\$50/day x days	\$
[ ] Banner (Banner request required)	\$195 (up for 2 weeks only)	\$
[ ] Facility Rental Fees & Deposits  *See Appendix A for rental rates**	\$ Varies	\$
[ ] Blanket Vendor Permit	\$100/event	\$
Total For Special	Event Services	\$
	ecial Event Fee	\$200
тот	AL TO BE PAID	\$
BANNER REQUEST: Please complete the following if you Washington Ave./SR 162 before and/or during your event. Edate, and event sponsor. Commercial advertising is not Banner must meet the following requirements: Banner sas inches high. Banner shall maintain minimal vertical clear PSE. Banners shall have wind load relief flaps eighteen (18 spaced at a density of one flap for each ten (10) square feet spaced uniformly to provide uniform wind load reduction. Baccoated nylon strip (13oz) securely sewn along top and botto Requested period for the banner to be displayed:	Banner message is list allowed.  Shall not be larger than rance to overhead util inches wide and tent of surface area. Relianners shall have two om.	mited to name, a 24 feet wide and ity lines set forth by a (10) inches high bef flaps shall be a (2) inch high vinyl
Requested period for the banner to be displayed:/_ *The banner may only be across SR 162 for 2 weeks*	/ unough/	
Material Type: Size:	_X Thickne	ss:
How many cuts are on banner? One sided or two	o-sided? [ ] One	[ ] Two
VENDORS: Will there be any vendors? [ ] Yes No		
If yes, vendors are required to purchase a City of Orting Washington prior to the event <b>or</b> the Applicant (Event) must vendors. (Resolution 2011-12)	g Business License t st purchase a Blanket	hrough the State o License to cover a

It will be the responsibility of the Event Coordinator to ensure vendor parking does not block Orting Businesses on Washington Ave., Van Scoyoc Ave. or customer parking areas next to the parks or businesses with exceptions determined by the City of Orting.

PARADE INFORMATION: Will a parade be part of this event? [ ] Yes [ ] No If yes, please answer the following:
PARADE START TIME: START LOCATION (show on map):
STAGING AREA – WHERE/WHAT TIME (show on map):
PARADE ROUTE (show on map):
WILL HORSES OR OTHER ANIMALS BE IN PARADE? [ ] Yes [ ] No
If yes, approximately how many animals?
*You will be responsible for cleaning up after animals participating in the parade*
WOULD YOU LIKE THE POLICE OR FIRE DEPARTMENT TO PARTICIPATE IN THE PARADE?
[ ] Yes [ ] No
OTHER: ARE YOU PLANNING TO CLOSE SR 162 (WASHINGTON AVE.) TO TRAFFIC? [ ] Yes [ No
If Yes, you will need to coordinate with WSDOT to approve the road closure. Visit https://wsdot.wa.gov/about/contacts for more information.
ARE YOU PLANNING TO CLOSE ANY OTHER LOCAL STREETS? Yes [] No If yes, which streets (show on map)? Jetween VanScayoc + Wahreton Pier
Will the event interfere with access to emergency services or cause undue hardship or excessive way
Will the event interfere with access to emergency services or cause undue hardship or excessive house levels to adjacent businesses and/or residents? (Please explain)
NO
What methods will you be using to notify adjacent homeowners/businesses? (Please explain)
the Commity
I understand that if deadlines are not met, our event may not be considered for Sponsorship and/or the event may not occur. Initials:
If roads are to be closed for any time at all the Applicant/Sponsoring Unit must contact Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) to obtain a permit for the event and provide a copy for the City at least 2 weeks prior to the event. <b>Initials:</b>

I understand that in the planning of activity I must allow for a 20ft access for emergency vehicles and also notify adjacent homeowners and businesses. Initials:

Permittee agrees to defend, indemnify and save harmless the City of Orting, its appointed and elective officers and employees, from and against all loss or expense, including but not limited to judgments, settlements, attorney's fees and costs by reason of any and all claims and demands upon the City of Orting, its elected or appointed officials or employees for damages because of personal or bodily injury, including death at any time resulting therefrom, sustained by any person or persons and on account of damage to property including loss of therefrom, arising out of any activity under or in connection with this event, except only such injury as shall have been occasioned by the sole negligence of the City of Orting, its appointed or elected officers or employees. I also am aware that I must provide \$1,000,000 liability insurance and name the City of Orting as an additional insurer. Initials:

Certificate of Insurance in the additional Insurer (please attac	h).		90 <u>3</u> (11)		
Name of Insurance Com	pany:	-amer's I	nsura	nce Company	è
Policy Number:(o05	,91379	83		1	)
harmless the City, its officers, a liability, cost and expense. Per Facilities, Orting Station and the Orting. Initials:	agents and mittee und	employees from and erstands that the Co	d against ar ommunity E	ny and all claims, act vent will include the (	ions, Covered
THE UNDERSIGNED APPLIC the Sponsoring Organization, a its officers, officials, employees liabilities for injury or death of a of City's property or from any public in or about the City's pro Initials:	and further and volun ny person, activity, wo	AGREES to defend teers from and aga or for loss or damag ork or thing done, p	d, indemnify inst any and le to propert ermitted, or	and hold harmless dall claims, suits, act, which arises out or suffered by APPLIC	the City tions, o f the use CANT o
I declare under penalty of perjuis true and correct.	ry (under t	he laws of the Unite	d States of	Arnerica) that the for	egoing
APPLICANT SIGNATURE:	V		<u> </u>	DATE: 4/3	CC
PRINT NAME: Lañe	Fran	CS			
Title/Role with Organization:		ive Pastor	1 Sx	markest Dire	ctor
Facilities are based on a first Applications and all required added to the yearly calendar:	come, firs documen	st serve basis. Eve ts may be mailed o			
Mail to: City of Orting Attention: Special Events PO Box 489 Orting, WA 98360	Or	Stop by: City Hall 104 Bridge St S Orting, WA 9836	30		

\*If you have questions regarding the application please call (253) 262-7842\*

\*\*A receipt showing payment is NOT approval of the event\*\*

## City of Orting Sponsorship Application

## Are you requesting City sponsorship? [ ] Yes [ ] No

If no, please skip to the FOR ALL SPECIAL EVENTS

## **CITY SPONSORSHIP OF A SPECIAL EVENT:**

Some Special Events may qualify for City Sponsorship, according to the City's Special Event Sponsorship Policy. Applicants for City Sponsorship for a Special Event shall comply with the City's Special Event Sponsorship Policy. Applicants are advised to review the City's Policy before requesting City Sponsorship for a Special Event. All City Sponsored Events shall comply with all applicable local, state and federal regulations.

All requests for City Sponsorship are reviewed by the City Council's Community and Government Affairs (CGA) Committee, and Applicants will be required to have a representative attend a CGA Committee meeting to answer any questions regarding the request.

Applicants seeking City Sponsorship must meet these baseline criteria, and to qualify for City Sponsorship the event must abide by all requirements of the Policy, including:

- Hosted by a <u>Non-Profit Organization registered</u> with the Washington Secretary of State, and provide proof of active status;
- Be open to all Orting residents;
- Serve a valid municipal purpose, such as strengthening the City's sense of community or celebrating the City's history.
- All items of the application are completed in full and received by the City 90 days prior to the date of the event;
- A brief letter defining the purpose of the event and the tier of sponsorship requested (Review the Special Event Sponsorship Policy for more information);
- Proof of liability insurance that compiles with the terms of Section IV of the City
   Special Event Sponsorship Policy (you may request a copy of the Special Event Sponsorship policy by emailing recreation@cityoforting.org).

There is no additional fee to apply for City Sponsorship, and Applicants may request sponsorship for a specific "Tier." The City offers two "Tiers" of sponsorship, with differing levels of support offered by the City depending upon the City's determination of the value added by the event to the community:

## Which Tier level of sponsorship are you asking for?

## [ ] <u>Tier 1:</u>

The following are examples of Tier #1 type events and available locations, and any special requirements therefor:

Gazebo, BBQ Area, or North Park – Open to nonprofit organizations registered Active with Secretary of State, and must comply with City's insurance and indemnification requirements. Organization must also purchase a City Business License Blanket Permit if vendors are participating in the event.

North Park- For Events more than 1-day usage, open to nonprofit organizations registered Active with Secretary of State, and must comply with City's insurance and indemnification requirements. Organization must also purchase a City Business License Blanket Permit if vendors are participating in the event.

## Tier 1~ Sponsorship includes:

- City Logo on Event (all City-Sponsored Events shall place the City Logo on all materials advertising the Event)
- City has a Booth at No Charge
- · Posting of the Event on the City Reader Board
- Posting of the Event on the City Website and Facebook
- · Usage of the above noted facilities at No Fee
- . 1 Maintenance Staff for eight (8) hours
- City to hang the banner, provided by the event, over Washington Avenue at no fee.

## Tier 2:

The following are examples of Tier #2 type events and available locations, and any special requirements therefor:

Block Train Street/Calistoga/Parking Lots with MPC/Gazebo/North Park- Open to nonprofit organizations registered Active with Secretary of State, and must comply with City's insurance and indemnification requirements. Must purchase a City Business License Blanket Permit if vendors are participating in the event.

Block Train Street/Calistoga/Washington/Parking Lots with MPC/ Gazebo/North Park-Open to nonprofit organizations registered Active with Secretary of State, and must comply with City's insurance and indemnification. Must purchase a City Business License Blanket Permit if vendors are participating in the event.

## Tier 2 ~ Sponsorship Includes:

- · City Logo on Event
- · City has a booth at No Charge
- Posting of the Event on the City Reader Board
- Posting of the Event on the City Website and Facebook
- Usage of above noted Facilities at No Fee
- Spider Box (2) Usage
- 1 Maintenance Staff for eight (8) hours
- Police Staff for set up/take down of Barricades, Cones, Traffic Signs & Directing Traffic or Police to set up/take down Barricades/Traffic Signs/Cones, but will not stay for the event
- 2 Port-A-Potties
- 1 Dumpster
- Barricades/Cones/Traffic Signs
- City to hang the banner, provided by the event, over Washington Avenue at no fee

If City Sponsorship is authorized by the City Council, the Sponsored Organization will be required to execute an Agreement with the City, acknowledging and agreeing to terms including but not limited to such issues as insurance and indemnification.

#### **FACILITY RENTAL RATES**

## **MULTI-PURPOSE CENTER (MPC)**

The multi-purpose center is located at 202 Washington Ave. S in the same building as the library. This rental includes a large room with a stage (occupancy of 148), a kitchen with 2 stoves, a refrigerator, a freezer, and counter space. This facility also includes tables and chairs. A refundable deposit of \$150 is required to secure this space. If you will be serving alcohol, a \$300 banquet permit will be required.

	Resident	Non-Resident	Non-Profit Organization
Full day M-F	\$150.00	\$200.00	\$20.00
Half day (5 hours) M-F	\$100.00	\$150.00	\$20.00
Full day Sat/Sun	\$200.00	\$250.00	\$100.00
Half day (5 hours) Sat/Sun	\$150.00	\$200.00	\$50.00

#### ORTING STATION

The Orting station located at 101 Washington Ave. NW is an open building that includes a large open area, a restroom, some counter space and a sink. This facility does NOT include tables and chairs. A refundable deposit of \$50 is required to secure this space.

	Resident	Non-Resident	Non-Profit Organization
Full day (any day)	\$100.00	\$200.00	\$50.00
Half day (5 hours)	\$50.00	\$100.00	\$25.00

## COVERED BBQ AREA

The covered BBQ area is located in the Main Park at 101 Train St. SW near the Bell Tower. This facility is also near the playground, horse shoe pits and park restrooms. Contact the Lions Club for BBQ racks. Up to 5 picnic tables can be placed under the covered area upon request. A refundable deposit of \$50 is required to secure this space.

	Resident	Non-Resident	Non-Profit Organization
Half day (5 hours)	\$30.00	\$60.00	\$20.00

## **GAZEBO**

The Gazebo is located in the South Main Park at 102 Train St. SW near the Bell Tower. This facility is also near the basketball court. A refundable deposit of \$50 is required to secure this space.

	Resident	Non-Resident	Non-Profit Organization
Half day (5 hours)	\$30.00	\$60.00	\$20.00

For	City Use Only
Date Application Received: De	ept. Meeting Date:
Event Application Completed: [ ] Yes [ ] No Detailed Event Map: [ ] Yes [ ] No	Certificate of Insurance: [ ] Yes [ ] No WSDOT Street Closure Permit: [ ] Yes [ ] No [ ]N/A
Application Approved: [ ] Yes [ ] No Date:	Initials:
Total Fee Amt \$ Date Paid:	Receipt #
NOTES:	



P.O. Box 826 Orting, WA 98360 (360) 893-6929 www.ortingsummerfest.webs.com

6/14/2022

Dear Orting City Council,

We would ask that you would consider sponsoring Orting Summerfest again this year, on August 28th, 2022. We are scaling things back a bit and keeping this a FUN and FREE event for the community. We will no longer be having Vendors but will have many inflatables, games and food available for free to everyone that wants to attend. We will start with a worship service in the park at 10am and then the Block Party will be from 11am to 2pm, of course the entire community can come to both but the Orting Summerfest Block Party will be what is taking place instead of the massive event we have done in the years past.

This event has always helped bring together our community from all backgrounds, ages, race, and season of life for a time to celebrate and have fun. It has always helped bring foot traffic into local businesses and built a sense of pride in our Community as we join all together for fun in the city park.

Please let us know if you have any questions going forward and when you would like a representative present at a council meeting.

Sincerely,

Karie Franks Director

Orting Summerfest

ortingsummerfest@gmail.com



Dr. Sterling Brackett Vice President Corporate Secretary-Treasurer

August 25, 2008

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is to verify that Orting Foursquare Church, in Orting, WA (EIN: 91-1993393), also known as "Abundant Life," is a subordinate unit in good standing with the parent organization of International Church of the Foursquare Gospel and has been in existence since August 7, 1999. Rev. Bradley Grasley is the senior pastor. Rev. Grasley is authorized to open an account and conduct business on behalf of the Orting Foursquare Church as well as be a designated signer on the church's bank account; this in accordance with the Bylaws of International Church of the Foursquare Gospel. A copy of our Corporate Resolution has been provided which verifies this.

Orting Foursquare Church is therefore under the group ruling Number 1061 of the International Church of the Foursquare Gospel and is tax exempt. This is verified by the enclosed copy of the letter from the Department of the Treasury of the Internal Revenue Service, which remains in effect. Please be informed that we are not required to file IRS Form 990 because we are a church.

In addition, please be informed that International Church of the Foursquare Gospel became incorporated under the laws of the State of California on December 30, 1927. This is verified by the copy of the Certificate of Status as a Domestic Corporation issued by the State of California, and the copy of the Articles of Incorporation and Statement of Information Biennial Report both on file with the California Secretary of State Office.

The Foursquare Central offices are located at the following address:

1910 W Sunset Blvd. Suite 200 Los Angeles, CA 90026-0176

Sincerely yours,

Sterling Brackett, VP Corporate Secretary-Treasurer International Church of the Foursquare Gospel

SB:att

Enc.:

Copy of 501 C3 letter

Copy of Certificate of Status Copy of Articles of Incorporation Copy of Statement of Information Copy of Corporate Resolution

Office of the Corporate Secretary-Treasurer
Offices of the International Church of the Foursquare Gospel
PO Box 26902 • Los Angeles, CA 90026-0176 • 213.989.4504 • 213.989.4541 fax • sbrackett@foursquare.org • www.Foursquare.org



## **ERTIFICATE OF LIABILITY INSURANCE**

DATE (MM/DD/YYYY) 06/14/2022

THIS CERTIFICATE IS ISSUED AS A MATTER OF INFORMATION ONLY AND CONFERS NO RIGHTS UPON THE CERTIFICATE HOLDER. THIS CERTIFICATE DOES NOT AFFIRMATIVELY OR NEGATIVELY AMEND, EXTEND OR ALTER THE COVERAGE AFFORDED BY THE POLICIES BELOW. THIS CERTIFICATE OF INSURANCE DOES NOT CONSTITUTE A CONTRACT BETWEEN THE ISSUING INSURER(S), AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE OR PRODUCER, AND THE CERTIFICATE HOLDER.

IMPORTANT: If the certificate holder is an ADDITIONAL INSURED, the policy(les) must have ADDITIONAL INSURED provisions or be endorsed. If SUBROGATION IS WAIVED, subject to the terms and conditions of the policy, certain policies may require an endorsement. A statement on this certificate does not confer rights to the certificate holder in lieur

Jerome Dickson(791022V) 102 Washington Ave S  Orting WA 98360-9802		CONTACT NAME: Jerome Dickson				
		PHONE (A/C, NO, EXT): 360-872-8652 FAX (A/C, NO): 360-893-6161				
		E-MAIL ADDRESS: jdickson1@farmersagent.com				
		INSURER(S) AFFORDIN	NAIC#			
ORTING FOURSQUARE CHURCH PO BOX 826 ORTING WA 98360		INSURER A: Truck Insurance Excha	21709			
		INSURER B: Farmers Insurance Exc	21652			
		INSURER C: Mid Century Insurance	21687			
		INSURER D:				
		INSURER E:				
OKTINO	WA 98300	INSURER F:	INSURER F:			
COVERAGES	CERTIFICATE MUNA	nen -				

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THE POLICIES OF INSURANCE LISTED BELOW HAVE BEEN ISSUED TO THE INSURED NAME ABOVE FOR THE POLICY PERIOD INDICATED, NOTWITHSTANDING ANY REQUIREMENT, TERM OR CONDITION OF ANY CONTRACT OR OTHER DOCUMENT WITH RESPECT TO WHICH THIS CERTIFICATE MAY BE ISSUED OR MAY PERTAIN, THE INSURANCE AFFORDED BY THE POLICIES DESCRIBED HEREIN IS SUBJECT TO ALL THE TERMS, EXCLUSIONS AND CONDITIONS OF SUCH POLICIES. LIMITS SHOWN MAY HAVE BEEN REDUCED BY PAID CLAIMS

INSR LTR		TYPE OF INSU	RANCE	ADDTL INSD	SUBR WVD	POLICY NUMBER	POLICY EFF (MM/DD/YYYY)	POLICY EXP (MM/DD/YYYY)	LIMITS			
	X COM	MERCIAL GENER	AL LIABILITY						EACH OCCURRE	NCE	\$	1,000,000
		CLAIMS-MADE	× occur						DAMAGE TO REM PREMISES (Ea Oc		\$	1,000,000
Α						Authorities and the second			MED EXP (Any or	e person)	\$	10,000
	GEN'L AGGREGATE LIMIT APPLIES PER:  POLICY PROJECT LOC  OTHER:		Y	Y	605913783	10/01/2021	10/01/2022	PERSONAL & AD	VINJURY	\$	1,000,000	
				114				GENERAL AGGR	GATE	\$	2,000,000	
								PRODUCTS - CO	MP/OP AGG	\$	2,000,000	
_										\$		
	АИТОМО	AUTOMOBILE LIABILITY							(Ea accident)	LE LIMIT	\$	
	ANY	ANYAUTO							BODILY INJURY (Per person)		\$	
	OWN	NED AUTOS	SCHEDULED AUTOS						BODILY INJURY (Per accide		\$	
	HIRE	D AUTOS	NON-OWNED AUTOS ONLY						PROPERTY DAM (Per accident)	AGE	\$	
									EACH OCCURRENCE		\$	
	UMB	RELLA LIAB	OCCUR							NCE	\$	
	EXCE	ESS LIAB	CLAIMS-MADE						AGGREGATE		\$	
	DED RETENTION \$							1.4		\$		
	WORKERS COMPENSATION AND EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY  ANY PROPRIETOR/PARTNER/ EXECUTIVE OFFICER/MEMBER EXCLUDED? (Mandatory in NH)  If yes, describe under DESCRIPTION OF OPERATIONS below							PER STATUTE	OTHER	\$		
			N/A				E.L. EACH ACCID	ENT	\$			
							E.L. DISEASE - EA	EMPLOYEE	\$			
								E.L. DISEASE - POLICY LIMIT		\$		
				1-1								

WA 98360

General liability herein of the described insured extends to the City of Orting and its respective event, Orting Summerfest, for Sunday, August 28th.

CERTIFICATE HOLDER	CANCELLATION
CITY OF ORTING	SHOULD ANY OF THE ABOVE DESCRIBED POLICIES BE CANCELLED BEFORE THE EXPIRATION

DATE THEREOF, NOTICE WILL BE DELIVERED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE POLICY PROVISIONS. ORTING SUMMERFEST PO BOX 489 **AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE** ORTING

ACORD 25 (2016/03)

©1988-2015 ACORD CORPORATION. All Rights Reserved

СІТУ О ОВТІИС

Small Town Big View 984 O.B. O.G. = SE. TS NIART 011 ORTING, WA 98360-0489 91SS-898 (008)



76742

Receipt Number:

**JunomA** 

Receipt Number

Date

Two Hundred and 0/100's Dollars

6/14/2022

PO Box 826 Orting Summer Fest Received From:

\$200.00

24757

3023

Odfing, WA 98360

\$200,00

Среск

**DCharchenko** Printed By

DEPARTMENT COPY

262.40.04.00 - Special Event - Summerfest - Abundant Life - 08/28/22



# CGA Committee Agenda June 1, 2022 8:15am

Tod Gunther, Councilmember, Chair Don Tracy, Councilmember Kim Agfalvi, City Clerk Scott Larson, City Administrator Gretchen Russo, Finance Director

\*

## 1. Call to Order.

Councilmember Gunther called the meeting to order at 8:17am.

## 2. Parks Report.

City Administrator Scott Larson briefed on the Request for Qualifications (RFQ's) received for the Main Parks Master Plan and stated the City will start reviewing proposals and will be inviting firms to interview.

#### 3. Public Comments.

Mr. Sam Colorossi commented and stated the King's Men Car show will be happening June 18<sup>th</sup>, 2022 at the Main City park.

## 4. Agenda Items.

## A. Low Income Home Water Assistance Program.

Finance Director Gretchen Russo briefed on the low-income home water assistance program and stated the City would need to sign an interlocal agreement with Pierce County and that there are citizens of Orting that would benefit from this program.

**Action:** Move forward to the Study Session on June 15<sup>th</sup>, 2022.

## **B.** Orting Historical Society – Clock Tower.

Mr. Sam Colorossi briefed that clocks in the clock tower have been installed and that they have been wired so they are backlit. He stated the committee is working on building a stand to hold the speakers for sound, and the last phase of the project would be to install a plaque to recognize the donors that have contributed to the project.

Action: Informational only.

#### C. HB 1220 Ordinance.

City Planner Stefanie Hindmarch briefed on the proposed permanent regulations being presented and the additional changes to the draft regulations that were proposed at the study session in May. Committee discussion followed.

**Action:** Move forward to the study session in June 15<sup>th</sup>, 2022.

## D. Tunnels to Towers 5k Sponsorship.

City Administrator Scott Larson briefed on the Tunnels to Towers event and the cost of the items requested in the sponsorship. He stated the staff recommendation is to provide a port-a-potty and the use of a gazebo along with dumpsters with some support for garbage clean up. He stated we will have police on duty in normal business operations.

**Action:** Move forward to study session on June 15<sup>th</sup>, 2022.

## **E. SEEK Grant Contract Amendment.**

City Clerk Kim Agfalvi briefed on the additional funds received from the Summer Experiences and Enrichment for Kids (SEEK) grant and that the contract approved would need to be amended to accept the additional funds received.

Action: Move forward to the study session on June 15<sup>th</sup>, 2022.

## F. Purple Heart Designation.

Councilmember Gunther briefed on the Purple Heart designation and how the City of Orting could become a Purple Heart City.

Action: Continue to research and bring back to July CGA Meeting.

## G. Distinguished Public Service Award.

No update.

**Action:** Move forward to July CGA meeting.

## H. City Challenge Coin.

No update.

Action: Move forward to July CGA meeting.

**5. Meeting Minutes of May 4th, 2022.** The meeting minutes of May 4<sup>th</sup>, 2022 were approved.

## 6. Action Items/Round table review.

Final comments.

Identify Items that are ready to move forward, establish next meeting's agenda.

## 7. Adjournment

Councilmember Gunther adjourned the meeting at 9:17am.

ATTEST:			
Kimberly Ag	falvi. City (	Clerk	